A Comparison of Conventional and Microwave Decalcification and Processing of Tooth and Mandibular Bone Specimens

A. THIRUMAL RAJ¹, SHANKARGOUDA PATIL², ROOPA S RAO³

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Any laboratory procedure exposes the technician and the pathologists to the hazardous effects of chemicals. Conventional procedures like decalcification and histoprocessing employed in laboratories are labour intense and time consuming thereby delaying the report dispatch. The present study was an attempt to employ a kitchen microwave to hasten the process and facilitate faster and accurate reporting; thus, benefitting the technician, pathologist and the patient.

Aim: To compare conventional and microwave based decalcification, processing and staining of tooth and mandibular bone specimens using 5% nitric acid as decalcifying agent.

Materials and Methods: The sample included formalin fixed 180 tooth specimens (60 incisors, 60 premolars, 60 molars) and 60 mandibular bone specimens (approx 0.5cm each). The

hard tissue specimens were subjected to varying combination of conventional and microwave decalcification, processing and staining. The entire procedure was blinded and evaluated by two examiners.

Results: Conventional Decalcification (CD), processing and staining produced the utmost quality, though consuming a relatively longer duration. Microwave reduced the total decalcification time by half and retained the diagnostic quality of the specimens. On the contrary the microwave based processing and staining caused significant damage to the tissues rendering sections un-diagnostic.

Conclusion: A combination of Microwave Decalcification (MD) followed by Conventional Processing (CP) and staining would be ideal to hasten the overall laboratory time with minimal compromise on tissue quality.

Keywords: Histological techniques, Microwaves, Nitric acid, Staining

INTRODUCTION

A wide spectrum of techniques, are available for demonstrating the various mineralized tissues of the body. The technique employed relies upon several factors including the provisional diagnosis rendered by the clinician, the urgency of the case and the level of investigation desired. Following biopsy the tissue sample is subjected to a series of procedures including fixation, processing and staining to ensure that the sample is of diagnostic quality [1].

Hard tissue specimens differ from their soft tissue counterpart, in that the former have to be decalcified before routine processing and staining. Several decalcifying reagents including acids and chelating agents have shown promising results [1]. The end point of decalcification is determined using various modalities including, routine radiograph and chemical tests [1]. Following decalcification, the tissues are processed. Processing involves several steps including dehydrating the specimen and rehydrating them with the appropriate media to enable sectioning without tissue damage or distortion. Staining provides the tissue with the necessary differentiation to aid in diagnosis [1]. At present, the average time taken for Conventional Processing (CP) and staining is approximately 6-8 hours and for Conventional Decalcification (CD) is 25-40 hours (depending on the size of the specimen). The demand for faster processing is ever growing, especially in cases of malignancies wherein the diagnosis is time restrained [2].

Several innovations have been proposed to allow faster and accurate diagnosis. The use of automated processing machine heightens the quality of the final specimen, but the time duration remains the same (6-8 hours) [2]. Increasing the temperature decreases the viscosity of the processing fluid enabling rapid

tissue penetration. Applying conventional heat to the processing fluid leads to uneven tissue penetration causing patchy staining. Microwave produces uniform heat thereby increasing the rate of tissue penetration and maintains the diagnostic quality of the specimen [2-5]. Mayers in 1970, was the first to propose the use of microwave in histopathology. He advocated the use of microwave to hasten the fixation time [2]. Apart from shortening the diagnosing time, Microwave Decalcification (MD) and processing has prevented exposure to several potential carcinogens. Studies have also shown that microwave processing causes lesser degree of nucleic acid denaturation [6-7]. Though many studies have emphasized the need for microwave decalcification, none to our knowledge have subjected the decalcified tissue to microwave processing and staining [4,5,8-12]. This study compares the conventional decalcification, processing and staining with microwave based decalcification, processing and staining.

The aim was to compare CD, histo-processing and staining of oral hard tissues, with microwave based decalcification, histoprocessing and staining using 5% nitric acid as the decalcifying agent. The objectives were to estimate and compare the time difference and the tissue quality among the various techniques employed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study group for the present in-vitro analysis consisted of 240 hard tissue specimens received in the Department of Oral Surgery, M.S. Ramaiah Dental College, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India. The specimens were fixed in 10% buffered formalin. The study was performed after obtaining clearance from the ethical board of the institution.

A. Thirumal Raj et al., Microwave vs. Conventional Decalcification and Processing of Oral Hard Tissues

Inclusion Criteria

- A total of 180 sound teeth (60 incisors, 60 premolars, 60 molars) indicated for extraction (orthodontic purpose, teeth with Grade III mobility and impacted teeth) were collected.
- Mandibular bone specimens (indicated for resection was collected post-diagnosis). These specimens were sectioned into 60 pieces of uniform size (approximately 0.5cm).

Exclusion Criteria

- 1) Teeth with caries and restorations
- 2) Bone specimens other than mandible
- 3) Pathologic bone

All the hard tissue specimens were subjected to one of the four techniques enlisted in [Table/Fig-1]. The entire procedure was blinded and evaluated by two examiners.

Conventional Decalcification, Processing and Staining: The formalin fixed hard tissue specimens were water washed for 30 minutes before being placed in 5% nitric acid at room temperature. The solutions were changed periodically till complete decalcification was achieved. The end point of decalcification was confirmed with a radiograph as it provides an accurate interpretation of the same without damaging the tissue specimen. Following decalcification, the specimens were subjected to routine processing and staining [3].

Microwave Decalcification, Processing and Staining: The MD protocol employed in the present study was based on a modification of the methodology employed by Sangeetha et al., [5]. Post fixation the hard tissue specimens were water washed for 10 minutes before being placed in a microwave bowl containing 5% nitric acid. The bowl with the specimens was placed in the microwave (Samsung Type-G2739N, maximum output was 750W), and set for a 10 second cycle at 300W. At the end of the cycle, the specimen was removed from the microwave and allowed to cool down for an hour, following which the specimens were placed for another 10 second microwave cycle. The process was repeated several times (average 7 cycles per day) with regular changes of each of the solutions (every 3 hours) till decalcification was completed. The end point of decalcification was confirmed with a radiograph.

The microwave tissue processing and staining was based on the methodology given by Mahesh Babu et al., [3].

The decalcified hard tissue specimens were evaluated by two oral pathologists by blinding the procedure. The evaluation was based on a modification in the criteria employed by Prasad et al., [13]. As illustrated in [Tables/Fig-2,3]. Evaluation ranged from scores of 1-5 (1-non-diagnostic, 2-poor, 3-average, 4-good, 5-excellent), depending on the quality of the tissue.

CD-CP	Conventi staining.	Conventional decalcification with conventional tissue processing and staining.							
CD-MP	Conventi staining.	Conventional decalcification with microwave tissue processing and staining.							
MD-CP	Microwa staining.	Vicrowave decalcification with conventional tissue processing and staining.							
MD-MP	Microwa staining.	Vicrowave decalcification with microwave tissue processing and staining.							
CD-convent	[Table/Fig-1]: Techniques employed. CD-conventional decalcification; MD-microwave decalcification; CP-conventional processing and staining; MP-microwave processing and staining								
		processing and stairing							
Param	eters	Criteria for Evaluation							
Param Sectioning									
		Criteria for Evaluation							
Sectioning		Criteria for Evaluation Section thickness (uniform/uneven); artifacts (present/absent)							
Sectioning Staining		Criteria for Evaluation Section thickness (uniform/uneven); artifacts (present/absent) Staining (uniform/patchy); Intensity (under/over/optimal)							
Sectioning Staining Dentin		Criteria for Evaluation Section thickness (uniform/uneven); artifacts (present/absent) Staining (uniform/patchy); Intensity (under/over/optimal) Dentinal tubules, odontoblast architecture							

Parameters	Criteria for Evaluation
Sectioning	Section thickness (uniform/uneven); artifacts (present/absent)
Staining	Staining (uniform/patchy); intensity (under/over/optimal)
Lacunae and cellular architecture	Osteocyte, osteoblast (presence/absence), retraction artifacts within the lacunae
Haversian canal and canaliculae	Histology of the vasculature and the fine cellular process in the canaliculae
Lamellations	Prominence and staining pattern
[Table/Fig-3]: Criter	ia for evaluating the bone specimens.

	Group	N	Mean	SD	Median	Min.	Max.	Mann- Whitney U	p-value
Dana	CD	30	26.8	1.716	27.0	23	29	0.000	-0.001
Bone	MD	30	15.7	1.729	16.0	12	19	0.000	<0.001
Ti	CD	30	22.67	1.322	22.50	21	26	- 0.000 <0.00	-0.001
	MD	30	13.23	1.406	13.00	11	16		<0.001
To	CD	30	44.03	1.991	43.00	41	48	0.000	<0.001
Тр	MD	30	23.17	3.185	23.00	16	31	0.000	<0.001
T	CD	30	75.63	2.251	75.00	71	79	0.000	.0.001
Tm	MD	30	35.80	2.058	36.00	31	39	0.000	<0.001
for the Ti-Tooth	bone and	d toot Tp-Too	h specim	nens. blar; Tm-1	Footh molar			ecalcification al decalcifica	

RESULTS

Total Decalcification, Processing and Staining Time Duration: Time taken for MD as calculated in hours for both bone and tooth specimens were approximately half the time of CD as elicited in [Table/Fig-4]. The time taken for CP and staining of both the hard tissue specimens was approximately 7 hours 31 minutes. MP and staining reduced the time period to approximately 2 hours 16 minutes.

Quality of the Hard Tissue Specimens: The overall tissue quality of the bone specimens including sectioning and staining lacunae and cellular architecture, lamellations, haversian canal and canaliculae was assessed [Tables/Fig-5,6]. The tooth specimens were assessed for sectioning and staining quality, pulp, dentin and cementum [Tables/Fig-7,8]. The quality of both the hard tissue





[Table/Fig-8]: Illustrating the quality of dentine (a) and cementum (b) of the tooth specimens among the four techniques.

h

		Group	N	Mean	SD	Min.	Max.	Chi square*	p- value
	Π	CD-CP	15	4.47	0.516	4.00	4		
	Sectioning	CD-MP	15	1.40	0.507	1.00	1	48.720	<0.001
	Secti	MD-CP	15	3.47	0.516	3.00	3	720	
	0)	MD-MP	15	1.60	0.737	1.00	1		
		CD-CP	15	3.93	0.799	4.00	3		
	Staining	CD-MP	15	1.60	0.632	2.00	1	37.642	<0.001
	Stai	MD-CP	15	2.27	0.458	2.00	2	642	201
		MD-MP	15	1.73	0.704	2.00	1		
	гe	CD-CP	15	4.20	0.676	4.00	3		<0.001
Bone	Lacunae and cellular architecture	CD-MP	15	1.47	0.516	1.00	1	42.040	
B	Lacu nd o rchite	MD-CP	15	2.27	0.799	2.00	1		
	ធ	MD-MP	15	1.27	0.458	1.00	1		
	SL	CD-CP	15	4.33	0.488	4.00	4		
	Lamellations	CD-MP	15	2.07	0.594	2.00	1	44.203	<0.001
	amell	MD-CP	15	2.67	0.816	3.00	1	203	001
	Ľ	MD-MP	15	1.33	0.488	1.00	1		
	0	CD-CP	15	4.00	0.756	4.00	3		
	rsian I and culae	CD-MP	15	2.00	0.845	2.00	1	39.	<0.001
	Haversian canal and canaliculae	MD-CP	15	2.80	0.775	3.00	2	39.237	001
	- ° 0	MD-MP	15	1.33	0.488	1.00	1		
		: The differe ruskal Wallis					pecimer	ns among t	he four

specimens was found to be better preserved in CD with CP and MD with CP in comparison to CD with MP and MD with MP. The kappa statistics was 0.900 which showed high agreement between the observers. The results are illustrated in [Table/Fig-9-16].

DISCUSSION

In contrast to soft tissue specimens, hard tissue specimens have to be decalcified prior to processing and staining. The time consumed in decalcification has been a significant hurdle in the early diagnosis and treatment of malignant lesions of gnathic bones. Decalcification time depends on various factors like temperature, pH and the concentration of the decalcifying agent, size and density of the specimen to be decalcified [1].

The most commonly employed decalcifying agents include: nitric acid, formic acid and Ethylene Diamine Tetra-acetic Acid

			CD-	MP	M	D-CP	MD-	MP
		Group	Mann- Whi- tney U	p- value	Mann- Whi- tney U	p- value	Mann- Whi- tney U	p-value
	ing	CD-CP	0.000	<0.001	28.000	<0.001	0.000	<0.001
	Sectioning	CD-MP	-	-	0.000	<0.001	99.000	0.523
	S B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	MD-CP	-	-	-	-	8.000	<0.001
	D	CD-CP	2.500	<0.001	10.000	<0.001	5.000	<0.001
	Staining	CD-MP	-	-	51.500	0.004	101.500	0.614
	ç	MD-CP	-	-	-	-	64.500	0.023
	a Ire	CD-CP	0.000	<0.001	10.000	<0.001	0.000	<0.001
e	Lacunae and cellular architecture	CD-MP	-	-	50.000	0.005	90.000	0.264
Bone	Lacunae and cellular architecture	MD-CP	-	-	-	-	35.000	0.001
	su	CD-CP	0.000	<0.001	10.000	<0.001	0.000	<0.001
	llatio	CD-MP	-	-	64.500	0.030	45.000	0.002
	Lamellations	MD-CP	-	-	-	-	22.500	0.001
	ae ae	CD-CP	10.000	<0.001	34.500	0.001	0.000	<0.001
	Haversian canal and canaliculae	CD-MP	-	-	60.000	0.021	62.500	0.023
	Hav can can	MD-CP	-	-	-	-	15.000	0.001
[Ta	ble/Fig-1	0]: The o	difference	in the c	uality of	the bone	specimens	between

[Iable/Fig-Tu]: The difference in the quality of the bone specimens between individual techniques- Mann-Whitney U test.

		Group	N	Mean	SD	Min.	Max.	Chi square*	p- value
	5	CD-CP	15	4.33	0.488	4	5		
	Sectioning	CD-MP	15	1.13	0.352	1	2	49.367	<0.001
	Sectio	MD-CP	15	3.53	0.64	2	4	367	
	0)	MD-MP	15	1.73	0.704	1	3		
		CD-CP	15	4.07	0.884	2	5		
	Staining	CD-MP	15	1.40	0.507	1	2	44.488	<0.001
	Stai	MD-CP	15	4.20	0.676	3	5	488	001
		MD-MP	15	1.60	0.737	1	3		
		CD-CP	15	3.67	0.724	2	5		<0.001
⊨	Pulp	CD-MP	15	1.40	0.632	1	3	35.625	
Γ	٦	MD-CP	15	2.60	0.632	2	4	525	
		MD-MP	15	2.00	0.756	1	3		
		CD-CP	15	4.33	0.617	3	5		
	Dentin	CD-MP	15	1.33	0.617	1	3	41.173	<0.001
	Der	MD-CP	15	2.40	0.828	1	4	173	001
		MD-MP	15	1.73	0.594	1	3		
	c	CD-CP	15	3.93	0.799	2	5		
	antun	CD-MP	15	1.60	0.507	1	2	35.722	<0.001
	Cementum	MD-CP	15	2.40	0.828	1	4	722	001
	0	MD-MP	15	1.67	0.617	1	3		
		11]: The diff nniques- Kru							among

(EDTA). Among these nitric acid (5-10%) is known to be relatively more corrosive to the tissues resulting in gross damage to the organic components of the specimen. Formic acid is a reasonable replacement for nitric acid in which the specimen's organic components are less likely to be degraded. But the later may fall short in its inability to produce results at a faster rate. Increasing the concentration of the decalcifying agent should decrease the decalcifying time [1]. Agents such as nitric acid, if used beyond a certain concentration (>10%) will result in significant tissue damage [1]. Thus, a balance has to be achieved between the time taken for decalcification and the quality of the final specimen. Depending on the depth of investigation necessary, the pathologist must select the agent of choice and customize the concentration of the decalcifying agent. Other factors including temperature have

		of the premolar tooth sr	



proven to have significant effect on the decalcification time and the final quality of tissue sections. Increasing the temperature of the decalcifying/processing/staining agent will increase the rate of penetration of the agent into the specimen [1].

The major drawback of increasing the temperature is uneven heating resulting in patchy decalcification leading to a gross reduction in tissue quality. Microwave produces uniform increase in temperature throughout the specimen. Thus, microwave decalcification, processing and staining could hasten the diagnostic time without compromising the diagnostic guality of the tissue [2-5]. The temperature and the time duration of MD has been customized according to the type of microwave employed. A normal household microwave has been employed in several studies where the temperature was fluctuant (as the microwave had only voltage

sample. As mentioned earlier, microwave hastens the diffusion of the decalcifying, processing and staining agents into the tissue specimens; thus, hastening the overall process. The major draw-

A. Thirumal Raj et al., Microwave vs. Conventional Decalcification and Processing of Oral Hard	Tissues
--	---------

			CD-	MP	MD	-CP	MD-	MP
		Group	Mann- Whi- tney U	p- value	Mann- Whi- tney U	p- value	Mann- Whi- tney U	p-value
	ing	CD-CP	0.000	<0.001	45.000	0.001	0.000	<0.001
	Sectioning	CD-MP	-	-	1.000	<0.001	58.000	0.008
	Se	MD-CP	-	-	-	-	10.500	<0.001
		CD-CP	3.000	<0.001	106.500	0.786	6.500	<0.001
	Pulp	CD-MP	-	-	0.000	<0.001	99.000	0.523
		MD-CP	-	-	-	-	2.000	<0.001
	suc	CD-CP	5.000	<0.001	34.000	0.001	15.500	<0.001
	ellatic	CD-MP	-	-	24.500	<0.001	63.000	0.026
Ħ	Lamellations	MD-CP	-	-	-	-	66.500	0.038
	_	CD-CP	0.500	<0.001	11.500	0.001	0.500	<0.001
	Dentin		-	-	33.500	<0.001	70.500	0.049
		MD-CP	-	-	-	-	63.500	0.021
	ш	CD-CP	4.500	<0.001	25.500	<0.001	6.000	<0.001
	Cementum	CD-MP	-	-	52.500	0.005	108.000	0.830
	ŏ	MD-CP	-	-	-	-	58.500	0.012
		- 12]: The			ality of the i	ncisor tooth	specimens	between

tney U tney U tney U CD-CP .000 < 0.001 112.500 1.000 5.000 < 0.001 Sectioning CD-MP 0.000 <0.001 90.000 0.312 MD-CP 5.000 < 0.001 _ CD-CP < 0.001 111.500 < 0.001 3.000 0.963 1.500 Staining CD-MP 2 000 < 0.001 88.500 0.269 _ -MD-CP -1.000 < 0.001 CD-CP 0.000 < 0.001 80.500 0.164 6.000 < 0.001 Pulp CD-MP 12.000 81.000 đ < 0.001 0.147 MD-CP _ 30,000 < 0.001 CD-CP < 0.001 10.500 0.000 < 0.001 4.000 < 0.001 Dentin CD-MP 30.000 < 0.001 54.000 0.006 MD-CP _ 89.000 0.295 CD-CP 2.000 < 0.001 21.000 0.001 8.000 < 0.001 Cementum CD-MP 49.000 0.004 90.500 0.313 _ MD-CP 72.000 0.071 cimens

MD-CP

p-

value

Mann-

Whi-

between individual techniques- Mann-Whitney U te

CD-MP

p-

value

Mann-

Whi-

Group

		Group	N	Mean	SD	Min.	Max.	Chi square*	p- value
	5	CD-CP	15	4.33	0.488	4	5		<0.001
	Sectioning	CD-MP	15	1.60	0.632	1	3	47.765	
	Sectio	MD-CP	15	3.80	0.676	3	5	765	
	0)	MD-MP	15	1.40	0.507	1	2		
		CD-CP	15	4.33	0.724	3	5		
	Staining	CD-MP	15	1.93	0.594	1	3	43.268	6
	Stail	MD-CP	15	3.20	0.676	2	4	268	<0.001
		MD-MP	15	1.53	0.743	1	3		
	Pulp	CD-CP	15	4.33	0.617	3	5	36.762	<0.001
Tm		CD-MP	15	1.87	0.743	1	3		
Ē	٦	MD-CP	15	2.40	0.828	1	4	762	
		MD-MP	15	1.60	0.737	1	3		
		CD-CP	15	4.33	0.488	4	5		
	Dentin	CD-MP	15	2.20	0.676	1	3	38.583	<0.001
	Der	MD-CP	15	2.40	0.91	1	4	583	201
		MD-MP	15	1.53	0.64	1	3		
	Ę	CD-CP	15	4.47	0.64	3	5		
	Cementum	CD-MP	15	2.00	0.655	1	3	39.372	<0.001
	Ceme	MD-CP	15	2.27	0.961	1	4	372	201
	0	MD-MP	15	1.33	0.488	1	2		

four techniques- Kruskal Wallis Test, N- number of specimens

setting, there was no temperature control). In such cases several cycles of short microwave exposure (8-10 seconds) have shown optimal results [5]. The MD protocol employed in the present study is based on a modification of Sangeetha et al., [5] protocol as elaborated in methodology. Following CD/MD, the specimen was subjected to MP/CP and staining as per the protocol adapted by Mahesh Babu et al., [3].

The results were interpreted based on two major criteria. The

first was the time taken for decalcification, processing and

staining. The second was the diagnostic quality of the tissue

www.jcdr.net

MD-MP

p-value

Mann-

Whi-

			CD-	MP	MD	-CP	MD-	MP
		Group	Mann- Whi- tney U	p- value	Mann- Whi- tney U	p- value	Mann- Whi- tney U	p-value
	ing	CD-CP	0.000	<0.001	65.000	0.025	0.000	<0.001
	Sectioning	CD-MP	-	-	2.500	<0.001	94.500	0.394
	Š	MD-CP	-	-	-	-	0.000	<0.001
		CD-CP	2.000	<0.001	33.000	0.001	2.000	<0.001
	Staining	CD-MP	-	-	22.000	<0.001	73.500	0.076
	5	MD-CP	-	-	-	-	16.000	<0.001
	Pulp	CD-CP	1.500	<0.001	11.500	<0.001	1.000	<0.001
E		CD-MP	-	-	75.500	0.093	89.500	0.301
ľ		MD-CP	-	-	-	-	54.500	0.010
	c	CD-CP	0.000	<0.001	10.000	<0.001	0.000	<0.001
	Dentin	CD-MP	-	-	101.000	0.604	56.500	0.012
		MD-CP	-	-	-	-	52.000	0.007
	Ę	CD-CP	1.500	<0.001	9.500	0.001	0.000	<0.001
	Cementum	CD-MP	-	-	97.500	0.495	52.500	0.006
	Ö	MD-CP	-	-	-	-	47.500	0.004
		-16]: The echniques				molar tooth	specimens	between

back of using microwave is the reduction in quality of the specimen as the temperature rises. Beyond a threshold temperature, the microwave may cause severe damage rendering the tissue undiagnostic. In the present study, microwave method reduced the decalcification time of both the bone and tooth specimens by half in comparison to CD. Thus, as per the first criteria i.e., decalcification time, microwave method showed a statistically significant advantage over conventional method. The results of the present study were in agreement with various other studies including that of Gruntz et al., Sangeetha et al., Roncaroli et al., Vongsavan et al., and Pitol et al., [4,5,8-10]. Further it was noted that the decalcification time increased as the specimen size increased (incisor to molar).

The MP and staining employed in the present study was a modified version of the protocol employed by Mahesh Babu et al., [3]. The total time taken for CP and staining, was approximately 7 hours 31 minutes in comparison to 2 hours 16 minutes using microwave. The present study is in accordance with several other studies including that of Amrutha et al., Mahesh Babu et al., Ralph et al., and Morales et al., [2,3,7,14] showing substantial reduction in the processing and staining time of soft tissue specimens using microwave.

The second factor in the present study was the quality of the specimens. Several parameters as illustrated in [Table/Fig-2,3] were employed to evaluate the hard tissue specimens. CD with CP and staining displayed utmost quality [Table/Fig-5-8]. MD with CP and staining retained the diagnostic features yet displayed a significant reduction in the overall tissue quality [Table/Fig-5-8]. This is in contrast to the results obtained by Gruntz et al., and Sangeetha et al., where in they observed that microwave decalcified tissue displayed a superior histopathological picture in comparison to the conventionally decalcified tissue [4,5]. CD with MP and staining and MD with MP and staining resulted in gross tissue damage [Table/Fig-5-8].

In the present study MP and staining showed a significant reduction in the procedural time but resulted in severe damage to the tissue. This is in contrast to the results obtained by Mahesh Babu et al., Boon and Kok et al., and Pritam et al., [3,15,16]. They noticed a significant increase in the quality of microwave processed soft tissue specimen. Mathai et al., Morales et al., Chaudari et al., Hopewood et al., and Leong et al., found no significant difference in the tissue quality between conventional and microwave processed soft tissue specimens [6,14,17-19]. The damage observed in MP of the hard tissue specimens could be due to the combined effect of the decalcification agent (acid) and the subsequent processing solutions (alcohol) in microwave. The soft tissue specimens used in Mahesh Babu et al., study were not subjected to any acidic solution which might predispose the tissue to disintegration on subsequent microwave based processing and staining [3]. This could be the reason why the soft tissues were more compatibile to MP than the decalcified hard tissue specimens.

CONCLUSION

To summarize, microwave significantly reduced the decalcification time for both the hard tissue specimens. Although, the quality of microwave decalcified tissue were inferior to those of conventional means, the tissues remained diagnostic. MP and staining also exhibited a significant reduction in the total time consumed, but resulted in severe tissue damage. Further, for hard tissue specimens, decalcification time proves to be the larger hurdle in early report delivery in comparison to the relatively insignificant processing time.

Thus, to conclude, MD followed by CP and staining could serve as an ideal method to reduce the decalcification time without compromising the diagnostic quality of the tissue. In the present study microwave method reduced the decalcification time by 50% in comparison to conventional method. Further studies on MD could employ a nitric acid concentration of less than 5% to improve the tissue quality.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bancroft JD, Gamble M. Theory and practice of histological technique. 6^{th} ed. Elsevier: 2008.
- [2] Amrutha N, Patil S, Rao RS. Microwaves: A revolution in histoprocessing. J Contemp Dent Pract. 2014;15(2)149-52.
- [3] Babu TM, Malathi N, Magesh KT. A comparative study on microwave and routine tissue processing. *Indian J Dental Research*. 2011;22(1):51-55.
- [4] Gruntz SL, English V. Expedited bone throughput using microwave decalcification. *Histologic*. 2011; XLIV(1).
- [5] Sangeetha R, Uma K, Chandavarkar V. Comparison of routine decalcification methods with microwave decalcifiaction of bone and teeth. J Oral Maxillofac Pathol. 2013;17:386-91.
- [6] Mathai AM, Naik R, Pai MR, Rai S, Baliga P. Microwave histoprocessing versus conventional histoprocessing. Ind J Pathol Microbiol. 2008;51:12-16.
- [7] Ralph L, Rohr A. Comparison of routine and rapid microwave tissue processing in a surgical pathology laboratory quality of histologic sections and advantages of microwave processing. *Am J Clin Pathol.* 2001;115:703-08.
- [8] Roncaroli F, Mussa B, Bussolati G. Microwave oven for improved tissue fixation and decalcification. *Pathologica*. 1991;83:307-10.
- [9] Vongsavan N, Mathews B, Harrison GK. Decalcification of teeth in a microwave oven. *Histochem J.* 1990;22:377-80.
- [10] Pitol DL, Caetano FH, Lunardi LO. Microwave induced fast decalcification of rat bone for electron microscopic analysis: An ultrastructural and cytochemical study. *Braz Dent J.* 2007;18:153-57.
- [11] Keithley EM, Truong T, Chandranait B, Billings PB. Using the microwave oven for decalcification of human temporal bones. *Newsletter of the NIDCD National Temporal Bone, Hea Balance Pathol Res Registry*. 2001;9:1-5.
- [12] Shashidara R, Sridhara SU. Kitchen microwave-assisted accelerated method for fixation and processing of oral mucosal biopsies: A pilot study. World J Dentistry. 2011;2(1):17-21.
- [13] Prasad P, Donoghue M. A comparative study of various decalcification techniques. *Indian J Dent Res.* 2013;24:302-08.
- [14] Morales AR. Experience with an automated microwave: Assisted rapid tissue processing method validation of histologic quality and impact on the timeliness of diagnostic surgical pathology. Am J Clin Pathol. 2004;121:528-36.
- [15] Boon ME, Kok LP, Ouwerkerk-Noordam E. Microwave-stimulated diffusion for fast processing of tissue: Reduced dehydration, clearing and impregnation time. *Histopathopathology*. 1986;10:303-09.
- [16] Panja P, Sriram G, Saraswathi TR, Sivapathasundharam B. Comparison of three different methods of tissue processing. J Oral Maxillofac Pathol. 2007;11:15-17.
- [17] Chaudhari K, Chattopadhyay A, Dutta SK. Microwave technique in histopathology and its comparison with the conventional technique. *Indian J Pathol Microbiol.* 2000;43(4): 387-94.

A. Thirumal Raj et al., Microwave vs. Conventional Decalcification and Processing of Oral Hard Tissues

[18] Hopewood D, Coghill G, Ramsay J, Milne G, Kerr M. Microwave fixation: Its potential for routine techniques, histochemistry, immunocytochemistry and electron microscopy. Histochemical J. 1984;16:1171-91.

[19] Leong SYA. Microwaves and turnaround times in histoprocessing. Am J Clin Pathol. 2004;121:460-62.

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

- Postgraduate Student, Department of Oral Pathology, Faculty of Dental Sciences, M S Ramaiah University of Applied Sciences, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India. Associate Professor, Department of Diagnostic Sciences, Division of Oral Pathology, College of Dentistry, Jazan University, Jazan, Saudi Arabia. Professor and Head, Department of Oral Pathology, Faculty of Dental Sciences, M S Ramaiah University of Applied Sciences, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India. 1
- 2.
- 3.

NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Dr. A. Thirumal Raj, No. 22, Ganga Block, M.S.R. Hostels, M.S.R.I.T. Post, M.S.R. Nagar, Bengaluru-560054, Karnataka, India. E-mail: thirumalraj666@gmail.com

FINANCIAL OR OTHER COMPETING INTERESTS: None.

Date of Submission: May 02, 2016 Date of Peer Review: Jun 30, 2016 Date of Acceptance: Jul 26, 2016 Date of Publishing: Oct 01, 2016

www.jcdr.net