

Study of Retinal Nerve Fibre Layer Thickness in Patients with Diabetes Mellitus Using Fourier Domain Optical Coherence Tomography

RENU DHASMANA¹, SONAL SAH², NEETI GUPTA³

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Diabetic retina undergoes degenerative changes in retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) in addition to vascular changes. Loss of RNFL with changes in inner retina and their association with metabolic control have been studied with varied results in diabetic patients.

Aim: To compare the RNFL thickness between diabetic patients and age matched healthy controls and to correlate the thickness to metabolic control.

Materials and Methods: One hundred and sixty five patients were enrolled in the study out of which 50 served as controls, 58 patients were diabetic without retinopathy and 57 patients had diabetic retinopathy. Both eyes of all patients underwent

optical coherence tomography scans for RNFL and ganglion cell complex. Foveal and parafoveal thickness were also measured. All the parameters were compared to patient's metabolic control.

Results: RNFL thinning was observed in superotemporal (p-value = 0.001) and upper nasal sectors (p-value = 0.031) around the optic disc in eyes with diabetic retinopathy. Ganglion cell complex also showed statistically significant thinning in diabetic patients. Creatinine levels showed a weak negative correlation to the RNFL.

Conclusion: This study positively concluded that neurodegeneration in an early component of diabetic retinopathy.

Keywords: Diabetic retinopathy, Neurodegeneration, OCT, RNFL thinning

INTRODUCTION

Diabetic Macular Oedema (DME) is the leading cause of legal blindness throughout the world [1-3]. It has been attributed to the microvascular theory [4,5]. This theory suggests increased capillary permeability and a breakdown of the blood retinal barrier in diabetic patients as the cause of macular oedema [2-4]. The current treatment option for varying grades of Diabetic Retinopathy (DR) and DME consists mainly of photocoagulation which destroys the diseased tissue so that unaffected retinal areas can be saved [1,4]. Much before the onset of microvascular changes, functional changes occur in a diabetic retina [5,6]. Various studies using electroretinography, colour vision, contrast sensitivity and dark adaptation have concluded that neuronal loss occurs much earlier than microvascular abnormailities [7-9]. Any loss of neuronal tissue will lead to a decrease in retinal thickness [10]. Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT) is the most precise method to measure retinal thickness in vivo [5,11]. It is an advantageous tool which helps in acquiring data at high speed, reconstructs in a three dimensional formal and shows the different retinal layers [5]. Fourier domain OCT provides high resolution (5µ) images and a higher scan rate [11]. The RTVue-100 OCT (Optovue, Inc., Fremont, CA) is one of the new commercially available Fourier-domain OCT instruments. The study was undertaken to map the retinal nerve fibre layer thickness variation in patients with Type II Diabetes Mellitus (DM), and compare them with age matched healthy controls.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Three hundred and thirty eyes of 165 patients attending the Ophthalmology outpatient department of a tertiary hospital over a period of 12 months (November 2011 – October 2012) were included in the study after obtaining written and informed consent. Patients were divided into 3 groups; 58 diabetic patients without DR, 57 diabetic patients with DR and 50 normal healthy subjects.

Inclusion criteria consisted of normal healthy subjects, type II diabetic patients without DR (Non DR Group) and with mild to moderate non proliferative DR (DR Group) [12]. Exclusion criteria consisted of patients with a history of Type I DM, DME, proliferative DR, pre-existing glaucoma, intraocular inflammation, optic nerve pathology, vitreous haemorrhage, previous retinal laser treatment, previous intraocular surgery/ procedure, media opacities such as dense cataracts, corneal opacities and uncooperative patients. Prior approval from Ethical Committee was also obtained.

All patients underwent detail Ophthalmological examination according to preset format. Intraocular pressure was recorded using Goldmann applanation tonometer. Optovue RTVue 100 three dimensional fourier domain OCT was used for scanning in all subjects. OCT scan was performed by a single person. Both eyes of all subjects underwent following scans after dilatation of pupil:

- Enhanced Macular Map 5 (EMM5),
- Optic Nerve Head (ONH) scan and
- Ganglion Cell Complex (GCC) scan.

EMM5 scan [Table/Fig-1] was used to measure the foveal thickness and the parafoveal thickness in superior, inferior, nasal and temporal quadrants. It consisted of a dense grid scan in a 6x 6mm area of central macula. RNFL thickness was measured using the ONH protocol which utilizes 3.45mm diameter circle centered around the optic nerve head [Table/Fig-2]. This scan consisted of 13 circular scans with diameter ranging from 1.3-4.9mm and 12 radial lines with 3.7mm length. RNFL analysis was done in 8 sectors namely Superotemporal (ST), Superonasal (SN), Inferotemporal (IT), Inferonasal (IN), Nasal Upper (NU), Nasal Lower (NL), Temporal Upper (TU), and Temporal Lower (TL). The GCC scan is centered 1 mm temporal to the foveal center and consists of 15 vertical line scans covering a 7mm square region.

Renu Dhasmana et al., Retinal Nerve Fibre Layer Thickness in Patients with Diabetes Mellitus



[Table/Fig-1]: EMM5 scan and parafoveal thickness scan on OCT in normal patient The MM5 covers a 5-mm-grid area. The analysis program can be used on the MM5 to generate retinal thickness data.



Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research. 2016 Jul, Vol-10(7): NC05-NC09

glycosylated haemoglobin (HbA1c) and serum creatinine available with the patient at the time of examination. HbA1c was measured by high performance liquid chromatography method using the Biorad D 10 machine and serum creatinine was measured by alkaline picrate method using the Unicel DXC 800 instrument.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Interpretation and analysis of obtained results was carried out by using Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 17(SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL) software. Statistical analysis of continuous variables was done using the Students t-test. One-way ANOVA, Post-hoc tests were applied and a p-value <0.05 was considered significant. Pearsons' correlation of coefficient was calculated for other variables.

RESULTS

There were 101 males (61.21%) and 64 females (38.79%) with a male: female ratio of 1.58:1. All groups consisted of predominantly males. Out of 165 patients enrolled in the study, 115 (69.69%) were diabetic patients in both Non DR and DR Group. The mean age of normal healthy subjects was 45.4 ± 7.96 years. [Table/Fig-3] shows the baseline characteristics of diabetic patients in both groups. [Table/Fig-4] shows the RNFL in 8 sectors, in the study groups. RNFL was found to be thinner in diabetic patients in both groups when compared to normal healthy subjects, however, it was significant only in the ST (p-value = 0.001) and NU (p-value = 0.031) sectors.

On performing OCT for measuring the foveal thickness, all the patients had a normal foveal contour. Mean foveal thickness was found to be thickest in DR Group (246.26±32.47 μ) in comparison to Non DR Group (236.35±24.11 μ) and normal healthy subjects (233.53±20.40 μ) [Table/Fig-5]. Statistically significant (p-value = 0.001) increment in mean foveal thickness was noted on using one-way ANOVA. Comparison between Non DR and DR group was performed using post-hoc test. Significant increment in foveal thickness was noted in patients of DR Group when compared to patients of Non DR Group (p-value < 0.05) and normal healthy subjects (p-value < 0.05).

The foveal thickness in males was significantly greater than in females in all the three groups (p-value = 0.001). On comparison of foveal thickness between the three groups using one-way ANOVA, significant increase was found in males (p-value = 0.000) and in females (p-value = 0.016) with DR.

The mean parafoveal thickness was maximum in superior quadrant in all the groups [Table/Fig-5]. All the four parafoveal quadrants showed a significant thinning in Non DR group and DR Group (p-value = 0.039, 0.001, 0.001 and 0.008 respectively) when compared to normal healthy subjects. GCC showed significant thinning when compared in the three groups (p-value = 0.010) by using one-way ANOVA. Significant thinning of GCC was noted in patients of DR Group on comparing it with normal healthy subjects when post-hoc analysis was performed (p-value <0.05). Pearson's coefficient of correlation showed a weak negative correlation between duration of diabetes and RNFL and GCC (R-value = -0.11, -0.02 respectively).

Metabolic control was worse in patients with DR. Pearson's coefficient of correlation was calculated to assess the relationship between RNFL thickness and parameters of metabolic control. No correlation was seen between RNFL thickness and HbA1c. Mean Creatinine levels showed a weak negative (-0.14) correlation.

DISCUSSION

Psychophysical and functional visual tests have demonstrated retinal dysfuction before onset of clinically evident retinal vascular changes [7-9]. Clinical evidence shows that in diabetic patients without DR, there is reduced contrast sensitivity including impaired

Baseline Characteristics	Diabetic patients					
	Non DR Group	DR Group				
Mean age	51.16±9.49 years	55.10±9.06years				
Duration of DM	3.60±4.28 years	9.74±6.51 years				
Insulin	8	11				
ОНА	44	_46_				
Lifestyle/ Alternative Medicine	<u>6</u>	None				
HbA1c (%)	8.87±2.14	9.51±1.95				
Creatinine (mg/dl)	0.82±0.37	1.48±1.62				

[Table/Fig-3]: Baseline characteristics of the diabetic patients.

		Diabetic patients				
RNFL thickness	Normal subjects (n=100 eyes)	Non DR Group (n=116 eyes)	DR Group (n=114 eyes)	p-value		
ST	133.54±16.61	131.8±19.51	124.50±23.04	0.001		
SN	118.28±24.89	118.73±23.89	113.00±20.04	0.089		
IT	143.14±22.34	139.8±23.14	136.15±27.22	0.107		
IN	136.56±26.43	133.50±27.17	129.97±32.46	0.240		
NU	90.56±11.91	86.83±14.47	81.07±20.31	0.031		
NL	80.71±10.58	79.93±12.45	78.25±20.87	0.403		
TU	85.37±15.56	83.01±14.70	82.96±21.82	0.475		
TL	84.99±16.78	82.59±16.78	82.53±18.15	0.467		
T-bla (Fig. 4). Operations of Defined Name Filter Leaves in the standard state						

[Table/Fig-4]: Comparison of Retinal Nerve Fibre Layer in the study subjects

			Diabetic patients				
Retinal thickness parameters	Quadrant	Normal subjects (n=100 eyes)	Non DR Group (n=116 eyes)	DR Group (n=114 eyes)	p-value		
Foveal thickness		233.53±20.40	236.35±24.11	246.26±32.47	0.001		
Parafoveal thickness	Superior	314.28±12.69	307.57±24.41	307.27±28.11	0.039		
	Inferior	310.93±17.46	302.83±22.40	300.42±24.90	0.001		
	Nasal	315.97±16.87	308.56±20.42	305.65±23.88	0.001		
	Temporal	300.8±16.51	291.77±23.37	294.72±29.42	0.008		
GCC		96.63±6.03	94.46±6.97	93.23±11.41	0.010		
[Table/Fig 5]: Comparison of foveal and parafoveal thickness among the study							

mesopic foveal contrast sensitivity [13]. In addition, the implicit time of first and second order multifocal electroretinogram is delayed in diabetic patient with no DR and amplitudes are decreased in second order component [14].

The importance of neurodegeneration in retinal diseases such as glaucoma and retinitis pigmentosa is well known. However, the effect of neurodegeneration in diabetes mellitus has largely been overlooked. In diabetes, thinning of RNFL can occur in the absence of glaucoma and other optic nerve diseases. Increased apoptosis, glial cell reactivity, microglial and altered glutamate mechanism are some neurodegenerative changes that have been proposed to occur in DR [4]. GCC, which consists of inner plexiform layer, ganglion cell layer and RNFL has shown to be valuable in detection of glaucoma as it is directly influenced by the glaucomatous damage [15]. Objective assessment of GCC finds importance in DR as its evaluation will help in detection of inner retinal loss associated with the disease and help in developing neuroprotective therapeutic regimens [16]. Therefore, it is of utmost importance for us to study the neurodegenerative component of DR so that in future, a preventive rather than interventional approach can be applied in its treatment.

In the present study, RNFL thickness was measured and compared among normal subjects, diabetic patients with and without DR. RNFL thinning was noted in both diabetic groups. Thinning was statistically significant in the ST quadrant in diabetic patients both in non DR and DR group and NU quadrant in comparison with normal control group. Current study is in accordance with other studies [17-20]. Superior RNFL loss in diabetic retina has been attributed to lower perfusion in superior retina and the ONH which may cause greater ischemia leading to structural damage to the ganglion cells superiorly [17].

Eyes with retinopathy showed a statistically significant increase in the mean foveal thickness when compared with normal subjects and in eyes without DR even in absence of DME. Oshitari T et al., in their study showed that foveal thickness was significantly higher in DR group than no DR group which is also seen in our study. They however reported a lower foveal thickness lower in patients with no DR when compared to controls which is contradictory to our findings [18]. Studies by others, however, are in concordance with the current study [17,21,22]. An increase in vascular permeability of diabetic retinas and longer duration of DM in the DR group has been implicated as a cause for increasing foveal thickness [15].

In all the groups, the mean foveal thickness was significantly higher in males when compared to females. Significant increment in foveal thickness was noted on comparison between normal subjects and no DR group, and no DR group and DR group. This finding is supported by Oshitari T et al., wherein they found a higher macular thickness in males as compared to females in normal eyes, eyes with no DR and eyes with DR. This suggests that retinas of women are more sensitive to the effects of diabetes than men [18].

Significant GCC thinning was noted in eyes with no DR and with DR. Similar result was seen in study conducted by Rodrigues et al., [20]. In the study conducted by Demir et al., though the GCC was thinner in diabetic subjects this thinning was not statistically significant in contrast to our study where the thinning was significant statistically [19]. Exact mechanisms for inner retinal loss are not clear but have been implicated to lower perfusion and higher metabolic demands of the inner retina which make it more vulnerable to the metabolic stress induced by diabetes [23].

Eyes with no DR showed a linear correlation between mean HbA1c and mean RNFL. Oshitari T et al., showed a weak negative correlation between the two [18]. Levels of mean creatinine showed a weak negative correlation with mean RNFL thickness suggesting that RNFL thickness decreased with increasing creatinine levels. A recent conducted study shows similar results [24].

The study concluded that significant RNFL thinning occurred in the superior sectors of ONH in diabetic subjects with DR and RNFL thickness decreased with increasing duration of Diabetes. GCC thinning was also noted in eyes with DR. These factors contribute to the evidence for early neurodegenration in the retina. Foveal thickness increases even in absence of DME, with progression of DR due to increased vascular permeability.

LIMITATION

The limitation of the current study lies in the fact that it was a non randomised study. It was a cross-sectional, observational study design. The subjects included in the study were of North Indian origin, hence its application to the world population is limited. Lastly, only approximate duration of diabetes was known to many subjects.

CONCLUSION

The present study, however, benefits diabetic patients as it can assess the RNFL status of the patients soon as the patient is diagnosed with diabetes mellitus. This will help in monitoring the patient. As RNFL thinning in diabetes mellitus is independent of glaucomatous thinning, OCT will prove to be a useful tool in diagnosing early neurodegenerative changes in diabetic patients even before onset of diabetic retinopathy.

REFERENCES

- Anderson HL. Mechanisms for monitoring changes in retinal status following therapeutic intervention in diabetic retinopathy. Surv Ophthalmol. 2002;47: 270-77.
- [2] Somfai GM, Tatrai E, Ferencz M, Puliafito CA, DeBuc DC. Retinal layer thickness changes in eyes with preserved visual acuity and diffuse diabetic macular oedema on OCT. Ophthalmic Surgery, Lasers and Imaging. 2010;41(6):593-97.
- [3] Browning DJ. Interpreting thickness changes in the diabetic macula: the problem of short-term variation in optical coherence tomography-measured macular thickening. *Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc.* 2010;108:62-76.
- [4] Barber AJ. A new view of diabetic retinopathy: a neurodegenerative disease of the eye. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*. 2003;27:283-90.
- [5] Verma A, Rani PK, Raman R, Pal SS, Laxmi G, Gupta M, et al. Is neuronal function an early sign of diabetic retinopathy? microperimetry and spectral domain optical coherence tomography study in individuals with diabetes but, no diabetic retinopathy. *Eye.* 2009;23:1824-30.
- [6] Antonetti DA, Barber AJ, Bronson SK, Freeman WM, Gardner TW, Jefferson LS, et al. Diabetic retinopathy. Seeing beyond glucose-induced microvascular disease. *Diabetes*. 2006;55:2401-11.
- [7] Greenstein V, Sarter B, Hood D, Noble K, Carr R. Hue discrimination and S cone pathway sensitivity in early diabetic retinopathy. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 1990;31:1008–14.
- [8] Falsini B, Porciatti V, Scalia G, Caputo S, Minnella A, Di Leo MA, et al. Steadystate pattern electroretinogram in insulin dependent diabetics with no or minimal retinopathy. *Doc Ophthalmol.* 1989;73:193–200.
- [9] Sokol S, Moskowitz A, Skarf B, Evans R, Molitch M, Senior B. Contrast sensitivity in diabetics with and without background retinopathy. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 1985; 103:51–54.
- [10] Biallosterski C, Velthoven MEJ, Michels RPJ, Schlingemann RO, DeVries JH, Verbraak FD. Decreased optical coherence tomography measured pericentral retinal thickness in patients with diabetes mellitus type I with minimal diabetic retinopathy. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 2007;91:1135-38.
- [11] Talu SD. Optical coherence tomography in the diagnosis of retinal diseases. ISRN biomedical imaging. 2013;910641:1-14.
- [12] Wilkinson CP, Ferris 3rd FL, Klein RE, Lee PP, Agardh CD, Davis M, et al. Proposed international clinical diabetic retinopathy and diabetic macular oedema disease severity scales. *Ophthalmology*. 2003;110:1677–82.
- [13] Katz G, Levkovitch-Verbin H, Treister G, Belkin M, Ilany J, Polat U. Mesopicfoveal contrast sensitivity is impaired in diabetic patients without retinopathy. *Graefes Arch ClinExpOphthalmol.* 2010;248(12):1699–703.
- [14] Abdelkader M. Multifocal electroretinogram in diabetic subjects. Saudi Journal of Ophthalmology. 2013;27(2):87-96.
- [15] Garas A, Vargha P, Hollo G. Reproducibility of retinal nerve fibre layer and macular thickness measurement with the RT Vue 100 OCT. *Ophthalmology*. 2010;117:738-46.
- [16] Van Djik HW, Verbraak FD, Abramoff MD. Decreased retinal ganglion cell layer thickness in patients with type 1 diabetes. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2010; 51:3660-65.
- [17] HYL, Kim IT, Park CK. Early diabetic change in the nerve fibre layer at the macula detected by spectral domain optical coherence tomography. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 2011;95(9):1223-28
- [18] Oshitari T, Hanawa K, Usami EA. Changes of macular and retinal nerve fibre layer thicknesses measured by Stratus OCT in patients with early stage diabetes. *Eye*. 2009;23:884-89.
- [19] Demir M, Oba E, Sensoz H, Ozdal E. Retinal nerve fiber layer and ganglion cell complex thickness in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Indian J Ophthalmol.* 2014;62:719-20.
- [20] Rodrigues EB, Urias MG, Penha FM, Badaró E, Novais E, Meirelles R, et al. Diabetes induces changes in neuroretina before retinal vessels: a spectraldomain optical coherence tomography study. *International Journal of Retina and Vitreous*. 2015;1:4 DOI 10.1186/s40942-015-0001-z
- [21] Lattanzio R, Brancato R, Pierro L, Bandello F, laccher B, Fiore T, et al. Macular thickness measurements by OCT in diabetic patients. *Eur J Ophthalmol.* 2002; 12:482–87.

- [22] Sanchez-Tocino H, Alvarez-Vidal A, Maldonado MJ, Moreno-Montanes J, Garcia-Layana A. Retinal thickness study with optical coherence tomography in patients with diabetes. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2002;43:1588-94.
- Van Djik HW, Kok PHB, Garvin M, Sonka M, DeVries JH, Michels RPJ. Selective [23] loss of inner retinal layer thickness in type 1 diabetic patients with minimal with minimal diabetic retinopathy. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2009;50:3404-09.
- [24] Srivastav K, Saxena S, Mahdi AA, Kruzliak P, Khanna VK. Increased serum urea and creatinine levels correlate with decreased retinal nerve fibre layer thickness in diabetic retinopathy. Biomarkers. 2015;20(6-7):470-73.

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

- Professor, Department of Ophthalmology, Himalayan Institute of Medical Sciences, Swami Rama Himalayan University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India. Retina Fellow, Department of Ophthalmology, Eye Q, Prakash Netra Kendra, NH2, Vipul Khand IV, Gomti Nagar, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India.
- 2.
- 3. Assistant Professor, Department of Ophthalmology, Himalayan Institute of Medical Sciences, Swami Rama Himalayan University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India.

NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Dr. Renu Dhasmana,

Professor, Department of Ophthalmology, Himalayan Institute of Medical Sciences, Swami Rama Himalayan University, Swami Rama Nagar, Dehradun, Uttarakhand-248140, India.

E-mail: renudhasmana@gmail.com

FINANCIAL OR OTHER COMPETING INTERESTS: None.

Date of Submission: Jan 27, 2016 Date of Peer Review: Mar 21, 2016 Date of Acceptance: Apr 22, 2016 Date of Publishing: Jul 01, 2016