Original Article

Assessment of Skeletal Maturation in Concordance to Statural Height and Body Weight in 12-Year-Old Children – A Cross-Sectional Study

VIKRAM VISHWANATH KRISHNAMOORTHY¹, RATNA PARAMESWARAN², DEVAKI VIJAYALAKSHMI³, NAYEEMULLAH KHAN⁴, ARANI NANDAKUMAR⁵

ABSTRACT

Introduction: To analyse the importance of bodily characteristics of growing children and its correlation towards skeletal maturity. This further aids orthodontists in proper treatment planning.

Aim: The purpose of this study was to assess the correlation between cervical vertebrae maturation, statural height and body weight as measured in 12 -year-old children.

Materials and Methods: Lateral cephalograms were taken as a part of treatment records in 94 children along with their statural height and body weight. The Cervical Vertebrae Maturation Index (CVMI) was used to trace the C2, C3 and C4 vertebrae respectively and the data were then correlated to the chart provided by the Indian Council for Medical Research (ICMR).

Results: The overall sample showed a statistically significant correlation between CVMI and height (p=0.047). Girls showed significant correlation in their mean heights to the CVMI staging (p=0.012) while the boys exhibited a maximal mean height value in Cervical Stage (CS) 5 followed by CS3. There was no significant correlation between weight and CVMI. The mean CVMI stage seen in boys and girls were CS2 and CS3 respectively.

Conclusion: There exists a definitive correlation between height and CVMI stages in growing children. Girls showed an advanced level of skeletal maturity in comparison to boys. CVMI staging should be used along with statural height and body weight when considering growth modification procedures.

Keywords: Cervical vertebrae, Growth modification, ICMR chart, Physical characteristics

INTRODUCTION

Knowledge of facial growth velocity and percentage of facial growth remaining is mandatory for effective planning of growth interventions. This must be based on an individual assessment of the patient's skeletal maturation.

The concept of optimal timing for dento-facial orthopedics is intimately connected to the identification of periods of accelerated growth which necessitates the orthodontist to commence procedures prior to the start of pubertal growth [1].

For optimal treatment planning for growth modification procedures, orthodontists tend to use these methods to assess the skeletal maturity level of the child which are, the chronological age, the dental development, height and weight measurements, sexual characteristics both primary as well as secondary, and skeletal age [2,3].

The Cervical Vertebrae Maturation (CVM) is one such method which is effective to analyze the adolescent growth peak of growing children, both in body height and mandibular size. This was first suggested by Lamparski and also by Franchi, Baccetti and Chen [4-7].

Chronological age in itself forms one of the crucial elements in determining the skeletal maturation of the individual and it has been shown that the start of pre-pubertal growth minimum and the peak pubertal growth has a strong correlation with the chronological age of the individual [8]. A study done by Ball et al., showed that the peak mandibular growth velocity in boys occurred at an average age of 14 years whilst the pre-pubertal growth minimum velocity started two years before this peak velocity which meant, around 12 years of age at an average [8]. Skeletal age is more a viable and reliable parameter to check maturation and the growth potential

present during adolescence or pre-adolescence when planning orthodontic treatment.

AIM

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the concordance between three entities namely; cervical vertebra maturation, statural height and body weight with the chronological age of 12 years as measured in local population. The null hypothesis stated that there is no concordance between CVMI stages and physical parameters like statural height and body weight in 12-year-old children.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Sample: The sample size in this study was of 94 individuals (48 females and 46 males) of chronological age of 12 years selected from those who reported to the out-patient department seeking orthodontic treatment at Meenakshi Ammal Dental College, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India. The study by design was cross-sectional and was done over a period of six months. Lateral cephalograms used in the study were taken as a part of the pre-treatment records for their orthodontic treatment. The chronologic age of the samples was recorded by their date of birth. Additional developmental data which included measurement of statural height and body weight [Table/Fig-1,2] was taken and then correlated with the Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) chart standards [Table/Fig-3,4] for optimal height and weight in Indian children [9].

Inclusion Criteria: The inclusion criteria for the study were that the children be free of any serious illness or systemic disease. They should belong to the local Dravidian population. This would provide uniformity in the assessment of the growth pattern as the



[Table/Fig-1]: Measurement of statural height in subject. [Table/Fig-2]: Measurement of body weight in subject.

AGE	WEIGHT (kg)	HEIGHT (cm)					
Birth	3.3	50.5					
3 months	6.0	61.1					
6 months	7.8	67.8					
9 months	9.2	72.3					
1 year	10.2	76.1					
2 years	12.3	85.6					
3 years	14.6	94.9					
4 years	16.7	102.9					
5 years	18.7	109.9					
6 years	20.7	116.1					
7 years	22.9	121.7					
8 years	25.3	127.0					
9 years	28.1	132.2					
10 years	31.4	137.5					
11 years	32.2	140.0					
12 years	37.0	147.0					
[Table/Fig-3]: Average height and weight of boys at different ages							

AGE	WEIGHT (kg)	HEIGHT (cm)					
Birth	3.2	49.9					
3 months	5.4	60.2					
6 months	7.2	66.6					
9 months	8.6	71.1					
1 year	9.5	75.0					
2 years	11.8	84.5					
3 years	14.1	93.9					
4 years	16.0	101.6					
5 years	17.7	108.4					
6 years	19.5	114.6					
7 years	21.8	120.6					
8 years	24.8	126.4					
9 years	28.5	132.2					
10 years	32.5	138.3					
11 years	33.7	142.0					
12 years	38.7	148.0					
[Table/Fig-4]: Average height and weight of girls at different ages.							

(Source: Nutrient Requirements and Recommended Dietary Allowances for Indians, I.C.M.R. 1990) [9].

samples belonged to a particular set of population and also the age of the sample should be 12 years and a standard deviation of up to three months ahead was considered acceptable.

CERVICAL STAGING	INTERPRETATION			
Cervical Stage 1 (CS1)	The lower borders of all the three vertebrae (C2-C4) are flat. The bodies of both C3 and C4 are trapezoid in shape (the superior border of the vertebral body is tapered from posterior to anterior). The peak in mandibular growth will occur on average two years after this stage.			
Cervical Stage 2 (CS2)	A concavity is present at the lower border of C2 (in four of five cases, with the remaining subjects still showing a CS1). The bodies of both C3 and C4 are still trapezoid in shape. The peak in mandibular growth will occur on average one year after this stage.			
Cervical Stage 3 (CS3)	Concavities at the lower borders of both C2 and C3 are present. The bodies of C3 and C4 may be either trapezoid or rectangular horizontal in shape. The peak in mandibular growth will occur during the year after this stage.			
Cervical Stage 4 (CS4)	Concavities at the lower borders of C2, C3, and C4 now are present. The bodies of both C3 and C4 are rectangular horizontal in shape. The peak in mandibular growth has occurred within one or two years before this stage.			
Cervical Stage 5 (CS5)	The concavities at the lower borders of C2, C3, and C4 still are present. At least one of the bodies of C3 and C4 is squared in shape. If not squared, the body of the other cervical vertebra still is rectangular horizontal. The peak in mandibular growth has ended at least one year before this stage.			
Cervical Stage 6 (CS6)	The concavities at the lower borders of C2, C3, and C4 still are evident. At least one of the bodies of C3 and C4 is rectangular vertical in shape. If not rectangular vertical, the body of the other cervical vertebra is squared. The peak in mandibular growth has ended at least two years before this stage.			
[Table/Fig-5]: Different cervical vertebrae maturation stages and their interpretation.				

The exclusion criteria were mainly samples belonging to any other group of population and also who were medically compromised were not to be considered for the study.

Methodology

The lateral cephalograms were traced on 0.003 inch matte acetate tracing paper with a 0.5mm diameter lead pencil using a radiographic illuminator. The matte acetate tracing paper (0.003") was secured to the radiographic films on one side and using 0.5mm lead pencil the tracings of the film were done which included three cervical vertebrae namely the densodontoid process – C2, the body of third cervical vertebrae – C3 and the body of the fourth cervical vertebrae – C4.

The CVM was evaluated using the method developed by Baccetti et al., [10], which assesses the maturational changes of the second, third and the fourth cervical vertebrae [Table/Fig-5]. This method makes use of both visual and cephalometric appraisals of morphological characteristics of the three cervical vertebrae that concerns two sets of variables that need to be checked for:

- The presence or absence of a growth related change in the vertebrae that is, a concavity at the inferior border of C2 (odontoid process), C3 and C4.
- The change in shape of the body of the cervical vertebrae with the progressive ages, namely trapezoidal, rectangular horizontal, square and rectangular vertical. Accordingly the respective shapes observed in the lateral cephalogram were classified into six consecutive stages in CVM (CS1 to CS6).

A custom made template [Table/Fig-6] was created out of lateral cephalograms of 12-year-old children who were a part of this study, each showing the different stages starting from CS1 to CS6.

It is necessary to mention here that, this template was used only for the assessment of the presence or absence of concavities in the lower borders of the vertebrae [Table/Fig-7], and not for assessing the change in their shape.



[Table/Fig-6]: Custom-made template that was prepared for the study.



[Table/Fig-7]: Use of the template to assess the vertebral changes in a particular case.

The statural height and body weight measured [Table/Fig-1,2] in the samples were compared with the chart provided by the ICMR [Table/Fig-3,4] [9]. Even though the World Health Organization (WHO) provided its standardized growth chart for children, our study preferred the use of ICMR chart as it was specific towards Indian children who present themselves as the samples for this study [9]. All radiographs were evaluated by the same operator and later verified by an independent evaluator to determine any inter-operator and intra-operator errors.

RESULTS

The results showed that there was no statistically significant correlation between CVM stages and the weight noted in the total sample and the height in CS6 staging showed statistical significance [Table/Fig-8] in concordance to stages observed in CS1, CS2 and CS3 (p=0.047, p<0.050).

In girls, the heights showed statistical significance with the CVMI (p=0.012), exhibiting a progressive rise in the mean height from CS3 to CS6 which indicated a steady correlation of statural height with progressing maturity stages [Table/Fig-9]. There was significant correlation when comparing the heights in CS6 with that of Stages 1, 2 and 3(p=0.002, 0.008, 0.003).

[Table/Fig-9] shows the ANOVA results for mean heights and weights of girls with their respective CVMI stages. [Note: The groups mentioned in the table are the samples who belonged to different stages of cervical maturation (CS), who were then subdivided according to the stages that they belonged (ranging from CS1 to CS6) at the time of measurement for the sake of statistical purpose and they were then correlated with their respective height and weight]. This was done to analyse the correlation between an increased skeletal maturation to an increased physical built or vice versa. If for example, the subgroups belonging to CS5 or CS6 corresponded to an increase in height/weight measurements and similarly vice versa viz. a child showing CS1 or CS2 corresponding to those stages accordingly. In the present study we noticed that in the total sample, the children who belonged to CS6 category showed

	Squares	df	Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups(CS1-CS6)	836.224	5	167.245		
Within Groups	6253.627	89	71.064	2.353	0.047
Total	7089.851	94			
Between Groups(CS1-CS6)	232.997	5	46.599		
Within Groups	4371.037	89	49.671	0.938	0.460
Total	4604.035	94			
()	Between Groups(CS1-CS6) Within Groups Total Between Groups(CS1-CS6) Within Groups Total	Between Groups(CS1-CS6)836.224Within Groups6253.627Total7089.851Between Groups(CS1-CS6)232.997Within Groups4371.037Total4604.035	Between Groups(CS1-CS6) 836.224 5 Within Groups 6253.627 89 Total 7089.851 94 Between Groups(CS1-CS6) 232.997 5 Within Groups 4371.037 89 Total 4604.035 94	Between Aroups(CS1-CS6) 836.224 5 167.245 Within Groups 6253.627 89 71.064 Total 7089.851 94 94 Between Groups(CS1-CS6) 232.997 5 46.599 Within Groups 4371.037 89 49.671 Total 4604.035 94 167.245	Between Aroups(CS1-CS6) 836.224 5 167.245 2.353 Within Groups 6253.627 89 71.064 2.353 Total 7089.851 94 94 94 Between Groups(CS1-CS6) 232.997 5 46.599 9.338 Within Groups 4371.037 89 49.671 0.938 Total 4604.035 94 94 94.75

[Table/Fig-8]: ANOVA for correlation between CVMI, height and weight in the tot: sample. Statistical significance p<0.05

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Height ^a	Between Groups(CS1-CS6)	801.488	5	160.298		
	Within Groups	1991.825	42	47.424	3.380	0.012
	Total	2793.313	47			
Weight ^a	Between Groups(CS1-CS6)	313.199	5	62.640		
	Within Groups	1449.254	42	34.506	1.815	0.131
	Total	1762.453	47			

	Sex	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std.Error. Mean	p-value	t-value
Hoight	Boy	46	143.6522	9.77063	1.44060	0.020	0 000
Height	Girl	48	143.8125	7.70923	1.11273	0.930	0.066
Maight	Boy	46	38.6087	7.93159	1.16945	0.646	0.461
vveignt	Girl	48	39.2813	6.12364	0.88387	0.646	0.461
	Gin	10	00.2010	0.12004	0.00007		

[[]Table/Fig-10]: t-Test Mean height and weight of the boys and girls Group Statistics.

The t-test was done to examine the mean height and weight observed in the boys and the girls sample to check for any variation.

	Sex	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std.Error. Mean	p-value	t-value
CVMI	CVMI Boy 4	46	2.1087	1.10007	0.16220	0.001	3.423
Status	Girl	48	3.0208	1.45119	0.20946	0.001	
[Table/Fig-11]: T-Test Mean CVMI staging observed in boys and girls Group Statistics. The above given table shows the mean CVMI staging observed in the boys and girls respectively.							

an increased height measurement. The ANOVA test was used so as to analyse the subtle variations as multiple variables were involved which are the height, weight and the CVM stage between the above mentioned groups and also within these groups.

The weights measured in both boys and girls did not have any significant correlation with the cervical vertebra maturational stages (p= 0.857 and 0.131).

The mean heights and weights were observed by the t-test and values obtained were then compared to with the ICMR chart [Table/Fig-10].

The mean CVMI score observed in boys and girls were CS2 and CS3 respectively [Table/Fig-11].

DISCUSSION

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the concordance between three skeletal maturity indicators namely; cervical vertebrae maturation, statural height and body weight with the chronological age of 12 years as measured in the local population. Cervical vertebra development of the sample (CVMI) was evaluated by Franchi and Baccetti's method [10]. The chronological age of all the samples taken was of 12 years. Previous studies associating chronological age with skeletal maturity were longitudinal in nature, thereby increasing the duration of the study. Hence, a study correlating chronological age had to be done in a cross-sectional manner and yet should be synchronous with the period of growth spurt of the children being analyzed.

The rationale for selecting the age 12 was that, while the girls generally reach their circum-pubertal peak by this age, the peak mandibular growth velocity in boys occurred at an average age of 14 years and the pre-pubertal growth minimum velocity started two years before this peak velocity, which meant around 12 years of age at an average according to a study done by Ball et al., [8]. Additionally, the fact that the existence of all permanent teeth (except the third molars) in the oral cavity by the age of 12, in a sense, marks a point of transition from late mixed dentition to early permanent dentition thus indicating an impending spurt in the growth of the individual.

The statural heights of the samples were measured and so was their body weight [Table/Fig-1,2]. These were considered significant in our study owing to the numerous studies that were done previously which clearly define them to be maturity indicators. Studies showing concordance between statural height and skeletal maturity levels were done by Franchi, Helsing, Mitani and Sato [5,11-13]. Similarly, increased body weight does have a seemingly positive influence on the maturity levels which has been shown by some of the recent studies correlating Body Mass Index (BMI) percentile and skeletal maturation [14,15].

The CVMI obtained from the lateral cephalogram involved the tracing of C2, C3 and C4 over a custom-made template [Table/ Fig-6] that was created for this study as mentioned. This was used purely for the assessment of the presence or absence of concavity in the lower borders [Table/Fig-7] and not to determine the change in shape. This was owing to the fact that according to a study done by Nestman, Perinetty and Cericato et al., [16-18], they elucidated that whilst the changes in the lower border concavities were reproducible by multiple operators, the weakness of the CVM method lie, in part, from difficulty in classifying the vertebral bodies of C3 and C4 as trapezoidal, rectangular horizontal, square, or rectangular vertical.

In girls, the heights showed statistical significance with the CVMI [Table/Fig-9], exhibiting a progressive rise in the mean height from CS3 to CS6 which indicated a steady correlation of statural height with progressing maturity stages. This is in concordance with the study done by Franchi et al., [5]. The weights measured in both boys and girls did not have any significant correlation with the cervical vertebra maturational stages.

The mean height for the boys and girls mostly coincided with the optimal height and weight values as given by the ICMR, thus satisfying their criteria for optimal height and weight for children of age 12 (ICMR 1990) [9] [Table/Fig-3, 4,10].

The mean CVMI score observed in boys and girls were CS2 and CS3 respectively [Table/Fig-11], which was clearly suggestive of the fact that in our study, the girls had attained the stage of pubertal peak whilst the boys lay in the pre-pubertal stage of maturation at the chronological age of 12 on an average, which was synchronous with numerous studies that have been done before indicating that girls mature faster than boys at any given point in time during puberty [8].

The BMI was calculated in both boys as well as girls to analyse the number of samples falling under the obese category (BMI=30 and above). We observed that none of our samples had a BMI of 30 or above, hence, there was no concomitant correlation with the maturity levels. This corroborated with studies done by Giuca et al., and Mack et al., [14,15] showing a direct correlation with increased BMI towards advanced skeletal maturity levels in children.

The null hypothesis has been rejected partly owing to the positive concordance observed, between statural height and cervical vertebrae maturation stages and a lack of any significant concordance observed with respect to body weight and CVMI.

LIMITATION

The limitations of the study are that this study involved a single, specific group of population and hence, the results obtained through this study may not apply for all groups of people. Therefore, future studies must involve different cross sections and subjects of varied racial origin to analyse the subtle differences observed in their growth patterns.

CONCLUSION

The present study concludes that statural height as a variable has a definitive positive correlation in growing children, especially girls, on the higher scale of maturity as observed by the CVMI. This warrants an early intervention in terms of growth modification in these children. The next variable in question which is the body weight when in proportion to the statural height does not significantly alter the maturity levels, but an overweight child still needs to be attended to, with caution owing to the direct positive influence that increased BMI has on skeletal maturity. Lastly, CVMI as a skeletal maturity indicator seems to be very much reliable for the assessment of maturation alongside the above mentioned indicators viz. statural height and body weight, which also needs to be taken into account when one plans growth modification procedures.

REFERENCES

- Bambha J.K, Van Natta P. Longitudinal study of facial growth in relation to skeletal maturation during adolescence. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 1963; 49:481-93.
- [2] Kucukkeles N, Acer A, Biren S, Arun T. Comparison between cervical vertebrae and hand-wrist maturation for the assessment of skeletal maturity. *J Pediatr*. 1999; 24:1:47-52.
- [3] Rajagopal R, Kansal S. A comparison of modified MP3 stages and the cervical vertebrae as growth indicators. J Clin.Orthod. 2002; 36:395-406.
- [4] Lamparski D. Skeletal age assessment utilizing cervical vertebrae [Thesis]. Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh, 1972.
- [5] Franchi L, Baccetti T, McNamara Jr. J.A. Mandibular growth as related to cervical vertebral maturation and body height. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*. 2000; 118:335-40.
- [6] Baccetti T, Franchi L, McNamara JA Jr. An improved version of the cervical vertebral maturation (CVM) method for the assessment of mandibular growth. *Angle Orthod*. 2002; 72:316-23.
- [7] Chen F., Terada K., Hanada K. A new method of predicting mandibular length increment on the basis of cervical vertebrae. *Angle Orthod*. 2004; 74:630-34.
- [8] Ball G, Woodside D, Tompson B, Hunter W, and Posluns J. Relationship between cervical vertebral maturation and mandibular growth: Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2011; 139:455-61.
- [9] Nutrient Requirements and Recommended Dietary Allowances for Indians, I.C.M.R. 1990.
- [10] Baccetti T, Franchi L, and McNamara, Jr J.A. The cervical vertebral maturation (CVM) method for the assessment of optimal treatment timing in dentofacial orthopedics. *Semin Orthod.* 2005; 11:119–29.
- [11] Franchi L, Baccetti T, and McNamara Jr J.A. Treatment and post treatment effects of acrylic splint Herbst appliance therapy. Am J Orthod.Dentofacial Orthop. 1999; 115:429-38.
- [12] Hellsing E. Cervical vertebral dimensions in 8, 11 and 15 year old children. Acta Odontol Scand. 1991; 49:207-13.
- [13] Mitani H, Sato K. Comparison of mandibular growth with other variables during puberty. Angle Orthod. 1992; 62: 217-22.
- [14] Giuca MR, Pasini M, Tecco S, Marchetti E, Giannotti L, Marzod G. Skeletal maturation in obese patients. Am J Orthod. Dentofacial Orthop. 2012; 142:774-79.
- [15] Mack KB, Phillips C, Jain N, Koroluk ND. Relationship between body mass index percentile and skeletal maturation and dental development in orthodontic patients. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2013; 143:228-34.
- [16] Nestman TS, Marshall SD, Qian F, Holton N, Franciscus RG, Southard TE. Cervical vertebrae maturation method morphologic criteria: poor reproducibility. *Am J Orthod.Dentofacial Orthop*. 2011; 140(2):182-88.

www.jcdr.net

- [17] Perinetti G, Caprioglio A, Contardo L. Visual assessment of the cervical vertebral maturation stages: a study of diagnostic accuracy and repeatability. *Angle Orthod.* 2014; 84(6):951-56.
- [18] Cericato GO, Luiz De Freitas PH, Bittencourt MAV, Paranhos LR. Reliability, efficacy and reproducibility of the cervical vertebrae maturation index (CVMI). *Biosci J.* 2015; 31:319-25.

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

- 1. Former Postgraduate, Department of Orthodontics, Meenakshi Ammal Dental College, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India.
- 2. Professor, Department of Orthodontics, Meenakshi Ammal Dental College, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India.
- 3. Professor and Head, Department of Orthodontics, Meenakshi Ammal Dental College, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India.
- 4. Senior Lecturer, Department of Orthodontics, Meenakshi Ammal Dental College, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India.
- 5. Professor, Department of Orthodontics, Meenakshi Ammal Dental College, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India.

NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Dr. Vikram Vishwanath Krishnamoorthy,

7, Kamatchi Nagar, Mangadu, Chennai – 600122, Tamilnadu, India. E-mail: vikram.vishwanath@yahoo.com

FINANCIAL OR OTHER COMPETING INTERESTS: None.

Date of Submission: Dec 22, 2015 Date of Peer Review: Feb 04, 2016 Date of Acceptance: May 10, 2016 Date of Publishing: Jun 01, 2016