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ABSTRACT

Background: With increase in elderly population, osteoarthritis has become major concern nowadays. Knee joint is most commonly affected joint. A number of methods have been developed in the last few years which help in treating the osteoarthritis knee, which includes non pharmacological, pharmacological and surgical methods. Among the most promising techniques with renewed interest for osteoarthritis knee with deformity is the use of high tibial osteotomy. Unicompartmental osteoarthritis knee with deformity especially in relatively younger age group (less than 60 years) constitutes the main indication.

Aim: The aim of present study was to evaluate management of osteoarthritis knee by graduated open wedge high tibial osteotomy in 40-60 years age group using limb reconstruction system.

Materials and Methods: Medial Opening Wedge High Tibial Osteotomy leaving the lateral cortex intact which acts as a hinge, was done in 30 patients and stabilized by Limb Reconstruction System. Distraction was started at 7th day at the rate of 1 mm/day and continued till proper alignment was achieved.

Results: Medial Opening Wedge High Tibial Osteotomy stabilized by unilateral external fixator is a good method for unicompartmental osteoarthritis knee with deformity as it gives precise control over final limb alignment and its ability to perform a residual correction. Deformity correction can be quantified at the time of correction as it is not acute correction. Gradual deformity correction can be done over time by distraction histogenesis with the help of unilateral external fixator. It is also a good method in young patients requiring large correction.

Conclusion: Medial Opening Wedge High Tibial Osteotomy is having many benefits over closed wedge osteotomy and stabilization by unilateral external fixator also has its added benefits. It is less invasive, no internal hardware present and safer in terms of neurovascular complications.

INTRODUCTION

With the increase in life expectancy due to improved health care system the incidence of human degenerative joint disorder is on the rise. Knee is the most commonly affected joint. Osteoarthritis (OA), the most common joint disease, is age related, affecting more than 80% of people over the age of 55. Osteoarthritis pathogenesis involves an imbalance between normal cartilage degradative and repair mechanisms, which results in net loss of cartilage, hypertrophy of bone, and generation of osseous outgrowths called osteophytes. The heterogeneity of OA arises from the many factors that can contribute to cartilage damage [1].

Patients typically report pain that is increased with activity and relieved by rest, though rest pain occurs in advanced disease. Morning stiffness, when present, rarely lasts more than 30 minutes. Symptom severity ranges from asymptomatic disease diagnosed radiographically to significant pain with functional limitation. Physical examination findings of the affected joints include tenderness on palpation, crepitus (palpable friction) with movement, bony enlargement, abnormal alignment, decreased range of motion and sometimes joint effusion. Diagnosis can be made with reasonable certainty based on history and clinical examination. X-rays may confirm the diagnosis. The characteristic radiographic features of OA are osteophytes, asymmetric joint space narrowing, subchondral bone sclerosis and subchondral cysts [1].

Management of osteoarthritis of knee may be non-surgical and surgical. Non-surgical management may be-

A) Non Pharmacological such as weight reduction, change in lifestyle, heat and cold therapy, moderate exercise along with use of braces and orthosis which make the patient comfortable [2,3].

B) Pharmacological methods such as: NSAIDs especially Acetaminophen provides substantial relief of pain with much less toxicity [2,4], Viscosupplements such as Glucosamine and Chondroitin [2,3,5,6], Diacerein and lately collagen peptides and Rose hip may be somewhat useful by variable mechanisms of action [7]. Of late quite a bit of interest has been generated by the use of Rotational Field Quantum Magnetic Resonance (RFQMR) as a non surgical method of osteoarthrits management.

Surgical management: Among the various surgical options joint replacement is quite popular as it gives long lasting pain relief besides good function. However, due to increase in life expectancy, reoperation may be required as no joint can last lifelong. Secondly in young high demand patients some activity restrictions may be required after arthroplasty. So herein lies the importance of High Tibial Osteotomy (HTO) which provides a well aligned, pain free and excellent functional knee for a pretty long time which may either delay a replacement for many years or may eliminate its need.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted in Orthopedics Department of a Tertiary care centre on patients admitted here for treatment of unicompartmental osteoarthritis knee. Thirty patients of osteoarthritis knee were selected for this study in the age group of 40 years and above. The informed consent of each patient was taken on the prescribed proforma. This study was undertaken after due approval by the Ethics Committee.

The indications for proximal tibial osteotomy are: (1) pain and disability resulting from osteoarthritis that significantly interfere with high-demand employment or recreation; and (2) weight-bearing radiographs showing degenerative arthritis that is confined to one compartment with a corresponding varus or valgus deformity. The patient must be able to use crutches or a walker and have sufficient muscle strength and motivation to carry out a rehabilitation program [8].

Contraindications to a proximal tibial osteotomy are: (1) narrowing of lateral compartment cartilage space; (2) lateral tibial subluxation of more than 1 cm; (3) medial compartment tibial bone loss of more than 2 or 3 mm; (4) flexion contracture of more than 15 degrees; (5) knee flexion of less than 90 degrees; (6) more than 20 degrees of correction needed; (7) inflammatory arthritis; and (8) significant peripheral vascular disease [8].

Patients X-rays were taken to know the grade of osteoarthritis knee according to Kellgren-Lawrence Grading System for OA [Table/Fig-1] [9]. The extent of deformity was determined from weight bearing full limb roentgenograms and recorded as the mechanical axis deviation. A straight line was drawn between centre of head of femur to centre of dome of talus before operation, and after the correction of deformity [Table/Fig-2]. Postoperatively mechanical axis should pass from the Fujisawa point with the limb in 5-7 degree valgus [10]. Uniplanar external fixator (Limb reconstruction system by Pitkar) was used for deformity correction in this study.

In surgical procedure two pins were passed proximal to the osteotomy site and two distal to the osteotomy site which was distal to tibial tuberosity. The first pin in the proximal part was passed as posterior as possible. This place was determined by passing a wire and cannulated bit was passed over it. The second pin was passed in anterior part keeping maximum distance between two pins. Similarly two pins were passed distal to the osteotomy site with a distance of 3 to 4 cm between the pins. Through a small incision bone was exposed subperiosteally and an oblique osteotomy was done towards the proximal tibiofibular joint leaving the lateral cortex intact. The hinge was provided with this lateral cortex [Table/Fig-3]. Two clamps were fixed to the pins and these were mounted over a rail. Compression distraction unit (CD unit) was mounted over the two clamps and distraction was done to check the opening of the osteotomy [Table/Fig-4]. After closing the osteotomy, wound was stitched. Distraction was started at 7th day at the rate of 1 mm/day and continued till proper alignment was achieved. Average duration of distraction was 22 days. Regular follow up was carried till a solid union was achieved. Patients were advised weight bearing as early as possible depending upon the patients comfort. Radiological evidence of bony union was seen at 12 weeks in most of the patients [Table/Fig-5]. Fixator was removed after confirming the union.

OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS

In this study, 30 osteoarthritic knees were treated. In this series none of the knees had undergone another surgical procedure except osteotomy. All the patients received a fair trial of conservative treatment including rest, anti-inflammatory analgesics, quadriiceps exercises, hot fomentation, wax bath and walking aids. It was only in those patients in whom such a trial provided minimal or no relief and the patients had disabling pain with radiographic evidence of unicompartmental osteoarthritis knee, that HTO was performed to improve the symptomatology by mechanical as well as biological reasons. Mean age in our study was 49.2 years ranging from 41-60 years. Females were predominant sex (76.6%). Obesity (BMI more than 30) was the main risk factor in 18 cases (60%) and the average duration of symptoms were 3-5 years.

From the [Table/Fig-6] it was quite clear that the various pre operative parameters showed a marked improvement postoperatively. Pain which was the main criteria for surgery showed a significant improvement. Preoperative walking distance also showed marked improvement [Table/Fig-7].

There was not much significant change in the range of motion postoperatively with only 4 patients showing some decrease (loss of 15-20 degree). Thrust which indicates ligamentous laxity was present in 100% of patients preoperatively showed marked improvement
with 70% showing no thrust postoperatively. Radiological union was seen by 12 weeks in majority of cases. Clinical knee score which was poor in all 100% of the cases improved markedly postoperatively with 80% patients having good to excellent score [Table/Fig-8,9].

DISCUSSION

The present study was conducted on 30 cases of OA Knee with the main aim to assess the efficacy of HTO in improving the knee function. Medial opening wedge osteotomy stabilized by Limb Reconstruction system (LRS) was the technique used and a follow up of 24 weeks was done.

HTO may be closed wedge or open wedge. It may be done proximal or distal to the tibial tubercle and may be stabilized by internal or external fixation [11-14]. The first HTO was done by Jackson which was distal to the tibial tubercle while Coventry did his HTO proximal to the tibial tubercle which had the advantage of high union rates due to quality of bone proximal to tibial tubercle [15,16]. Goran Bauer et al., described a lateral closing wedge osteotomy which had disadvantages of requiring extensive soft tissue dissection on lateral aspect, more chances of neurological involvement, requires disruption of superior tibiofibular joint with partial excision of fibular head [17].

Open wedge osteotomy can be done either as an acute correction using some internal hardware or it can be done in a graduated manner using distraction histogenesis principle. Opening wedge haemicondylasis described by Turi et al., using an external fixator to distract the osteotomy site gradually [18]. This technique has got various advantages such as being minimally invasive, no need of fibular osteotomy, minimal risk of nerve damage and subtle corrections by continuous opening of osteotomy. In addition the stability of Medial collateral ligament is also improved.

The mean age at the time of osteotomy was 49.2 years which is comparable to a study by Robinson FM et al., [11]. Females were the predominant sex as seen in a study by Nakamura et al., [19]. Obesity was the major risk factor in OA and strong association has been well established [20-22]. Preop varus deformity was 8-10 degrees (50%). Our aim was to achieve postop mechanical axis of 6-8 degree valgus with Fujisawa point as reference. We could achieve 5-7 degree valgus in 60% of the cases. Pain was the main criteria for performing this procedure and 100% of patients had moderate to severe pain preoperatively. However postoperative pain relief was pretty good with 70% patients having none or mild pain that too on strenuous exercise. This is in accordance with a study by Insall et al., where 75% patients had partial to complete relief of pain [23].

In this study, majority of the patients maintained or rather improved their preoperative range of motion. Only 4 patients had some decrease in range of motion postoperative (15-20degree). Similar results have been described by Insall et al., [23]. So it is imperative to start knee mobilization as soon as possible.

In our study, walking distance was also a major determinant in the final outcome and there was a dramatic increase in walking distance (more than 1 Km in 40%). In this study, majority of patients had radiological union at a mean of 12 weeks (10-16) after surgery. This observation coincides pretty well with study of Nakamura et al., [19]. In this study, thrust, defined as sideways movement of the knee in the coronal plane thus indicating ligamentous laxity was present in all cases preoperative. After correction 70% showed no instability which is in accordance with Insall et al., [23].

The overall outcome with this procedure was quite encouraging. With pain relief, range of motion and walking distance as the major determining factors, the overall clinical knee score was excellent to good in 80% of cases.

CONCLUSION

From the above study, it is quite clear that Open wedge Osteotomy using Limb Reconstruction System is an effective method of managing OA knee especially in younger patients with Unicompartmental Knee involvement. It is minimally invasive, requires no fibular osteotomy, minimal chances of nerve injury, easy to perform and no internal hardware used requiring subsequent removal. Besides correction is graduated and not one time as is seen with use of fixed angle plates where we may get under/over correction. We strongly recommend this procedure in relatively younger patients as it may delay the need of joint replacement and in some cases it may actually avoid it.
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