Basak S, Mallick Sk, et al; Community Associated Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus

# JOURNAL OF CLINICAL AND DIAGNOSTIC RESEARCH

#### How to cite this article:

BASAK S, MALLICK SK, BOSE S.COMMUNITY ASSOCIATED METHICILLIN-RESISTANT STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS (CA-MRSA) —AN EMERGING PATHOGEN: ARE WE AWARE??.Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research [serial online] 2010 February [cited: 2010 February 1]; 3:2111-2115.

Available from http://www.jcdr.net/back\_issues.asp?issn=0973-709x&year=2010 &month= February &volume=4&issue=1&page=2011-2015 &id=528 Basak S, Mallick Sk, et al; Community Associated Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus

### REVIEW

## Community Associated Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus (CA-MRSA) —An Emerging Pathogen: Are We Aware??

#### BASAK S\*, MALLICK SK\*\*, BOSE S\*\*\*

#### ABSTRACT

Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) is one of the most important causes of nosocomial infections all over the world. Once prevalent in health care setup Hospital acquired MRSA (HA-MRSA) for more than 40 years, MRSA has migrated to the community in recent years. Community associated MRSA (CA-MRSA) has evolved as a novel emerging pathogen in patients who had no contact with the health care setup. The epidemiological, molecular and microbiological differences between community associated and hospital acquired MRSA, necessitate different strategies to prevent, control and treat these two types of infection.

\*M.D.Professor of Microbiology \*\*M.B.B.S.Tutor, Department of Microbiology Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College, Sawangi (M) Wardha.(M.S)(India) **Corresponding Author:** Dr. Silpi Basak, M.D Professor of Microbiology,Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College, Sawangi (M) Wardha(India) Phone: (07152) 287765 Mobile:09421726385 Email:drsbasak@rediffmail.com

#### Introduction

Staphylococcus aureus can cause mild skin infections to potentially life threatening infections e.g. surgical site infection, osteomyelitis, bacteriaemia etc. In the preantibiotic era, mortality due to Staphylococcus aureus was 90%. With the discovery of penicillin in 1928, the infection to Staphylococcus due aureus was controlled for a certain period. In 1942, penicillin was described as the magic bullet against Staphylococcus aureus, but by 1945, 12-22% of Staphylooccus aureus species had become resistant to penicillin by producing β-lactamase. In 1959, Methicillin was introduced, which could resist  $\beta$ lactamase. But in 1961, Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) appeared in

U.K [1]. MRSA has become a major nosocomial pathogen, worldwide. For more than 40 years after its initial recognition, the reservoir of MRSA was infected and colonized patients in hospital [2], and were termed hospital acquired MRSA (HA-MRSA).

#### Why Is MRSA In The Limelight??

It has been found that MRSA causes most of the nosocomial infections (20% to 80%) in different health care set ups [3]. MRSA infection is also a leading cause of the increased cost of treatment and increased hospital stay, leading to increased working day loss. Moreover, MRSA is resistant to most of the commonly used antibiotics. The mechanism of resistance to methicillin was uncovered in 1981 with the identification of an altered protein, penicillin binding protein 2a (PBP2a), which is encoded by the mecA gene [4]. The mobile *mecA* gene complex resides within a genomic island, the Staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec (SCC mec) [4].

After being confined to the health care setup earlier, MRSA has now migrated into the community. The terminology has become very inconsistent with MRSA causing infection in the community [5].

#### Community-onset (CO) MRSA

Infection with MRSA diagnosed or index culture collected in community. The established risk factors of MRSA infection were recent hospitalization, surgery, dialysis, long term cure, indwelling catheter or precutaneous medical device and history of MRSA infection in the recent past.

#### **Community-Acquired MRSA**

This term is used for community onset MRSA (CO-MRSA) infections in patients without established risk factors, but it is difficult to establish how the acquisition occurred.

## Community-Associated MRSA (CA-MRSA):

Community onset infections in persons without established risk factors.

In a recent and dramatic evolutionary development, community associated MRSA (CA-MRSA) has emerged as an important public health problem [6]. Community-Associated MRSA (CA-MRSA) shares some characteristics with HA-MRSA strains, but also differ in antimicrobial susceptibility and virulence.

The main differences between HA-MRSA and CA-MRSA are as follows: [7] [Table/Fig1] Molecular definition of HA-MRSA and CA-MRSA was given by different workers. An isolate was classified as an HA-MRSA strain if the SCC mec type was other than type IV [8]. An isolate was classified as a CA-MRSA strain if SCC mec type IV was present.

(Table/Fig 1)		
HA-MRSA	CA-MRSA	
Recent health care exposure	No history of health care exposure	
Skin and soft tissue infections less common	Skin and soft tissue infections more common	
Antibiotic resistance to many drugs e.g. Gentamicin,Clindamycin & Fluoroquinolones	Antibiotic resistance to fewer drugs	
Resistance genes SCC mec types I,II,III	Resistance genes SCC <sup>*</sup> mec types IV, V	
PVL <sup>#</sup> toxin gene rare	PVL toxin gene common	
*SCC Stanbylassaal Cassetta Chromosoma		

\*SCC- Staphylococcal Cassette Chromose #PVL- Panton Valentine Leucocidin

The CA-MRSA strains carry the Panton Valentine Leukocidin (PVL) -toxin gene commonly. Vandenesch et al have found that PVL-MRSA strains are widely distributed in some communities [9]. They also have the risk of transmission in hospitals. Panton Valentine Leukocidin (PVL) Toxin is a necrotizing cytotoxin. It is associated with abscesses and severe pneumonia. It can also be found in some Methicillin-Sensitive Staphylococcus aureus (MSSA) isolates. PVL toxins can damage membranes by the synergistic actions of two non-associated secretory proteins S and F [9]. PVL is also lytic for a wide variety of cell lines.

In CA-MRSA, the risk factors for community transmission are the 5Cs: [10]

- ► <u>C</u>rowding
- Skin to Skin Contact
- $\succ$  <u>C</u>uts or abrasions
- ➢ Contaminated items and surfaces
- Lack of <u>C</u>leanliness

Outbreaks of CA-MRSA in the community are characterized by serious skin/soft tissue infections or necrotizing pneumonia. CA-MRSA outbreaks are first detected as clusters of abscesses or "spider bite". CA-MRSA outbreaks have been reported in people who are involved in competitive sports like football, wrestling, fencing etc, or in schools, dormitories, military barracks, prisons and daycare centers [5]. CA-MRSA skin or soft tissue (SST) infections have also been reported in a state prison in Mississippi in 2000 [11] and amongst military trainees in 2001-2002 [12] and in a detention center in 2004 [13].

In 2005, Kazakova et al reported CA-MRSA abscesses among professional football players at sites of turf burn [13],[14]. They came across a very important finding that trainers providing wound care did not follow hand hygiene, towels were frequently shared amongst the players and weight training equipment was not regularly cleaned. Outbreaks of CA-MRSA have also been reported in several states of USA, with licensed and unlicensed tattooing [5].

Pam Webb, in his report, had shown the differences in the prevalence of the involvement of different body sites in HA-MRSA versus CA-MRSA infections [15].

[Table/Fig 2] From this study, it is very evident that CA-MRSA predominantly causes skin and soft tissue (SST) infections. The common presentations for CA-MRSA skin infections are boils, abscesses, furuncles, carbuncles,etc. In the United States, CA-MRSA skin infections are often misdiagnosed as "spider bite" and this misdiagnosis unnecessarily delays proper treatment of the infection and facilitates it's spread.

(Table/Fig 2)

SITE	HA-MRSA	CA-MRSA
Skin & soft	36%	74%
tissue		
Respiratory	22%	6%
Urinary tract	20%	1%
Blood stream	9%	4%

Hence the questionon to how to deal with skin and soft tissue infections in the community automatically arises. The CA-MRSA guidelines of August 2007 state that any unusual skin lesions or draining wound is potentially infectious to others and the first rule is to prevent transmission, whereas the second rule is to evaluate and refer [16].

The prevention of CA-MRSA involves four simple steps:

✓ Maintain hand hygiene

- ✓ Keep wounds clean and covered
- ✓ Don't share personal items like towels and razors
- ✓ Clean environmental surfaces regularly

The second rule states that any unusual skin lesions have to be evaluated by a health care provider for prompt treatment. Increased awareness among healthcare providers and monitoring close contacts of CA-MRSA patients are also necessary to control CA-MRSA infection.

Generally CA-MRSA is resistant to all  $\beta$ agents including cephalexin. lactam amoxicillin-clavulanate and ceftriaxone. Many of these strains are also resistant to erythromycin, clarithromycin and azithromycin. trimethoprimsulphamethoxazole and doxycycline. They are also susceptible to vancomycin, linezolid and daptomycin. Almost all the strains of CA-MRSA are sensitive to topical mupirocin ointment.

CA-MRSA from an abscess is best treated by surgical drainage. A culture from the lesion should be grown and studied for proper antibiotic therapy. For inpatients, treatment by drainage of the abscess and injection by 1mg/Kg qd vancomycin is the gold standard. In some health care set ups for treating CA-MRSA, 4mg/Kg qd daptomycin (cubicin) is used, as it may have an antitoxic effect. For outpatients, CA-MRSA is treated by surgical drainage of the abscess and treatment with trimethoprimsulphamethoxazole or doxycycline and or orally. Otherwise, rifampicin second generation fluoroquinolones i.e. levofloxacin, gatifloxacin and rifampicin may also be used. [17],[18]

Though most of CA-MRSA strains are sensitive to clindamycin, as per guidelines, clindamycin should never be given empirically in CA-MRSA infection if the patient is a child and is critically ill, or if the patient is an adult and is mild to moderately ill, is without D-zone test results or if the patients is an adult and is critically ill [19].

Positive D-zone tests indicate inducible clindamycin resistance (*erm*-mediated) and these can be done by putting clindamycin  $(2\mu g)$  discs 15mm away from the edge of the erythromycin(15 $\mu$ g) disc, as per NCCLS guidelines, 2004 [20]. Inducible resistance to clindamycin is manifested by flattening or blunting of the clindamycin zone of inhibition (giving D-shape) which is adjacent to the erythromycin disc.

[Table/Fig 3] The importance and significance of the D-zone test is that, without the D-zone test, all MRSA strains with inducible clindamycin resistance would have been reported as clindamycin sensitive by routine antibiotic sensitivity tests, resulting in treatment failure [21]. Hence, the D-zone test has become a standard operative procedure (SOP) for any MRSA strains isolated in the laboratory.



(Table/Fig 3) Showing positive D-zone test routinely done in our laboratory.

So, there are three main factors of concern for CA-MRSA [10]

- 1. CA-MRSA is the leading cause of skin and soft tissue infections in adults in the community
- 2. CA-MRSA spreads more readily than HA-MRSA
- 3. CA-MRSA has the potentiality to spread in a health care setting

In India, there still is apaucity of available literature regarding Community-associated MRSA (CA-MRSA) which is emerging as a pathogen worldwide, since 2001. Reliable data on the prevalence of CA-MRSA infection in India is lacking. In two small studies, 1.4% and 11% of communityacquired pyoderma were found to be caused by MRSA [22],[23]. Kabir et al from India, have reported in 2007, 11.8% of CA-MRSA [24]. Moreover, there is a wide communication gap between the private practitioners and laboratory staff in India, which may be the cause of not reporting skin and soft tissue infections due to CA-MRSA.

To conclude, we must say that as Clinicians and Microbiologists, we must be aware of the fact that CA-MRSA is a novel emerging pathogen and MRSA strains which were only restricted to healthcare setups few years back, have encroached into the community. For proper treatment of the patients, we must try to detect CA-MRSA strains.

So the war is on! Our opponent is now not only *Staphylococcus aureus* as it was 40 years ago, but also hospital acquired MRSA (HA-MRSA), Community associated MRSA (CA-MRSA) and MRSA *coagulase negative Staphylococci* (MRSA-CoNS) have been included in the list.

#### Reference

- [1] Dowling HF. The newer penicillins, Clinic Pharmacol Ther. 1961; 2: 572-80.
- [2] Boyce JM, CauseyWA. Increasing occurrence of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in the United States. Infect Control 1982; 3:377-83.
- [3] Anuprabha S, Sen MR, Nath G, Sharma BM, Gulati Ak, Mohapatra TM. Prevalence of methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in a tertiary referral hospital in Eastern Utter Pradesh. Indian J.Med. Microbiol 2003; 21(1): 49-51.
- [4] Katayama Y, Ito T, Hiramatsu K. A new class of genetic element, *staphylococcus* cassette chromosome mec, encodes methicillin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2000; 44:1549-55.
- [5] Gorwitz RJ. Emergence and epidemiology of Community Associated Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus in the United States. Available from http:// www.webbertraining.com.
- [6] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Outbreaks of community-associated Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus

infections— Los Angeles County, 2002-2003. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2003; 52:88.

- [7] Naimi TS, LeDell KH, Como-Sabetti K, et al. Comparison of community- and health careassociated Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus infection. JAMA 2003; 290:2976-84.
- [8] Fey PD, Said-Salim B, Rupp ME, et al. Comparative molecular analysis od community or hospital-acquired Methicillinresistant Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2003; 47: 196-203.
- [9] Vandenesch F, Naimi T, Enright MC, et al. Community-acquired methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus caring Panton-Valentine leukocidin genes: Worldwide emergence. Emerg Infect Dis 2003; 9: 978-984.
- [10] Zetola N, Francis SF, Nuermberger EL, Bishai WR. Community-acquired methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: an emerging threat. Lancet Infect Dis 2005; 5:275-86.
- [11] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* skin or soft tissue infections in a state prison-Mississippi, 2000. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2001; 52: 919-22.
- [12] Campbell K M, Vaughn A F, Russell K L, Smith B, Jimenez D L, Barrozo CP, Minarcik J R,Crum N F, and Ryan M A K. Risk factors for community-associated methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infections in an outbreak of disease among military trainees in San Diego, California, in 2002. J. Clin. Microbiol 2004; 42:4050-53.
- [13] Kazakova SV, Hageman JC, Matava M, et al. A clone of methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus among professional football players. N Engl J Med 2005; 352:468-75.
- [14] Begier EM, Frenette K, Barrett NL et al. A high-morbidity outbreak of methicillinresistant Staphylococcus aureus among players on a college football team, facilitated by cosmetic body shaving and turf burns. Clin Infect Dis 2004; 39: 1446-53.
- [15] Pam Webb. MRSA prevention strategies for Healthcare and Community settings. Available from http://www.mtpin.org.

- [16] Interim Guidelines for the control and prevention of Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) skin and soft tissue infections in Non-Helathcare settings, August 2007; Centers for Disease Control and prevention. Available from http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dhqp/ar\_mrsa \_ca.html.
- [17] Naimi TS, LeDell KH, Como-Sabetti K, et al. Comparison of community- and health-careassociated methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus infection. JAMA 2003; 290:2976-84.
- [18] Charlebois, ED, Perdreau-Remington F, Kreisworth B, et al. Origins of community strains of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Clin Infect Dis 2004; 39:47-54.
- [19] Drinkovic D, Fuller ER, Shore KP, Holland DJ, Ellis-Pegler R. Clindamycin treatment of *Staphylococcus aureus* expressing inducible clindamycin resistance. J Antimicrob Chemother 2001; 48:315-6.
- [20] Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute/NCCLS. Methods for antimicrobial susceptibility testing for bacteria that grow aerobically. CLSI/NCCLS M100-S14. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Wayne, Pa 2004.
- [21] Mallick SK, Basak S, Bose S. Inducible Clindamycin Resistance in Staphylococcus aureus- A therapeutic Challenge. JCDR 2009; 3: 1513-1518. Available from http://www.jcdr.net/back\_issues.asp.
- [22] Nagaraju U, Bhat G, Kuruvila M, Pai GS, Jayalakshmi, Babu RP: Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in communityacquired pyoderma. Int J Dermatol 2004; 43:412-414.
- [23] Patil R, Baveja S, Nataraj G, Khopkar U: Prevalence of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) in community-acquired primary pyoderma. Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol 2006; 72:126-28.
- [24] Kabir S, Manchanda V, Rajpal M. et al. Bacterial pyoderma in children and therapeutic options including management of community-acquired methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Int J Dermatol 2007; 46(3): 309-13.