

Evaluation of Serum Prolactin Level in Patients of Subclinical and Overt Hypothyroidism

PARUL GOEL¹, KAHKASHA², SHVETA NARANG³, BHARAT K GUPTA⁴, KAPIL GOEL⁵

ABSTRACT

Background: Prolactin secretion is controlled by prolactin inhibitor factor that is secreted from hypothalamus; factors like vasoactive inhibitory peptide (VIP) and thyroid releasing hormone (TRH) lead to increase in prolactin secretion. Hyperprolactinemia is a common condition that can result from a number of causes including hypothyroidism. Objective of the study was to determine correlation between serum levels of prolactin and thyroid hormones in euthyroid, subclinical and overt hypothyroid cases.

Materials and Methods: Consecutive patients presenting for various thyroid related problems were segregated into two groups subclinical and overt hypothyroidism according to their diagnosis based on history and clinical examination, laboratory reports, inclusion and exclusion criteria. Newly diagnosed 75 patients in each group were finally enrolled. Similar number of age and sex matched controls were selected. All subjects filled a predesigned questionnaire for the evaluation of hypothyroid

symptoms. Thyroid profile for T_3 , T_4 (total and free), TSH and prolactin were determined in all the subjects and analyzed.

Results: Prolactin elevation was found in 16 patients (21.33 %) with overt hypothyroidism, and in six patients (8%) with subclinical hypothyroidism. The control group and subclinical hypothyroid patients exhibited no significant difference in terms of total and free T_3 , total and free T_4 . For TSH and prolactin on the other hand, a statistically significant elevation was found in patients with overt hypothyroidism when compared with subclinical hypothyroidism; and in patients with subclinical hypothyroidism when compared to the controls. A significant statistical difference was observed between the two groups of hypothyroid patients for all hypothyroid symptoms except alopecia and hirsutism.

Conclusion: The incidence of hyperprolactinemia in hypothyroidism was found to be higher when compared with normal controls. Serum prolactin assessment should be performed on all patients with hypothyroidism (overt and subclinical) before performing further tests.

Keywords: Bradycardia, Cardiovascular effects, Heart rate, Hypotension

INTRODUCTION

Hyperprolactinemia is the most prevalent endocrine disorder in hypothalamic-pituitary axis that can result from a number of causes, including medications, hypothyroidism and pituitary disorders. Prolactin secretion is controlled by prolactin inhibitor factor that is secreted from hypothalamus, other factors like vasoactive inhibitory peptide (VIP) and Thyroid releasing hormone (TRH) lead to increase in prolactin secretion [1].

Hyperprolactinaemia may develop in patients with primary hypothyroidism through a variety of mechanisms. In response to the hypothyroid state, a compensatory increase in the discharge of central hypothalamic thyrotropin-releasing hormone occurs, which results in stimulation of prolactin (PRL) secretion. The role of TRH as a hypothalamic hypophysiotrophic hormone releasing TSH from the anterior pituitary gland is well-known but its role in the stimulation of PRL release from the anterior pituitary is still controversial [2-4]. Prolactin elimination from the systemic circulation is reduced in patients with primary hypothyroidism, which contributes to increased prolactin concentrations [5,6]. Many other reasons are speculated for increasing prolactin levels in hypothyroid patients.

In 1988 for the first time, an increase of serum prolactin was reported in a woman with carpal tunnel syndrome and subclinical hypothyroidism [7]. After that, one study showed the relationship between subclinical hypothyroidism, hyperprolactinemia and sterility [8].

While the prevalence of hyperprolactinaemia in overt hypothyroidism has been reported to be as high as 40%, its prevalence and clinical significance in subclinical hypothyroidism has only been reported in case reports and few studies [9,10].

Because of the variable results and low patient numbers in previous studies, we planned the present study with an intent to:

- 1) Estimate serum prolactin levels and determine the prevalence of hyperprolactinaemia in newly diagnosed subclinical and overt hypothyroid patients and
- 2) To evaluate the relationship between thyrotropin (TSH) and prolactin levels in subclinical and overt hypothyroid patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients and Controls

The 200 consecutive patients presenting to endocrinology clinic of CSS Hospital, Meerut, India, from January 2011 to December 2012 for various thyroid related problems were approached for participation in the present study.

Inclusion Criteria

The inclusion criteria for the selection of the cases were newly diagnosed cases of primary hypothyroidism. The relevant clinical history was taken from all the patients and the details were recorded in writing. These patients were then segregated into two groups subclinical and overt hypothyroidism according to their diagnosis based on history and clinical examination. Seventy five patients in each group were finally enrolled; all patients provided written informed consent for participation in the study; approved by the Ethics Committee of the hospital.

Similar number of age and sex matched healthy persons (neither having any thyroid problem nor with conditions affecting prolactin levels) were enrolled as controls.

Exclusion Criteria

The patients excluded from the study were the ones who presented with one of the following criteria:

1. Those with clear medical reasons for hyperprolactinemia, such as lactating and pregnant women, liver or kidney disease, and the ones taking antidepressants, estrogens or antipsychotics.

2. All clinical or pharmacological causes of PRL elevation were ruled out.

A detailed questionnaire was designed for the evaluation of hypothyroid symptoms and all the subjects duly completed it.

Laboratory Method for Thyroid Function and Prolactin Assessment:

Detailed assessment of thyroid function was done for all the enrolled subjects. Seven ml fasting blood sample was taken from each person, serum separated and preserved at -20°C and then serum levels of TSH, total and free thyroxine (T_4 & fT_4), total and free triiodothyronine (T_3 & fT_3) & prolactin (PRL) were measured in all enrolled subjects by using an enzyme immunoassay competition method with a final fluorescent detector (ELFA) on mini VIDAS from Biomerieux, France.

The normal range for T_3 was taken as 0.92-2.33 nmol/l, for fT_3 as 4-8.3 pmol/l, for T_4 it was 60-120 nmol/l, for fT_4 as 9-20 nmol/l. TSH levels ranging between 0.25-5 $\mu\text{U/l}$ was considered normal. These values are in accordance with commercially available kits from Biomerieux, France. Hypothyroidism was defined as subclinical if basal TSH was increased with normal T_3 , fT_3 , T_4 and fT_4 and overt if basal TSH was increased with low T_3 , fT_3 , T_4 and fT_4 . The normal ranges of serum prolactin were 5-35 ng/mL for females and 3-25 ng/mL for males. Correlation of PRL levels with the severity of hypothyroidism (overt or subclinical) was performed.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistical analysis was done with Open Epi software, version 2.3 by using through Chi-Square test and oneway ANOVA with Bonferroni test as a post hoc test for pairwise comparison. Spearman's correlation was used to look for association between TSH and prolactin in the study group. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Out of 200 patients, 50 patients who did not meet with inclusion criteria were excluded from study. Distribution of the subjects in relation to age and sex; in study and control groups is shown in [Table/Fig-1].

Study group (subclinical hypothyroidism and overt hypothyroidism) and control group were similar in regard to age and gender. PRL elevation was found in 16 patients (21.33 %) with overt hypothyroidism, and in six patients (8%) with subclinical hypothyroidism. Laboratory variables (T_3 , fT_3 , T_4 , fT_4 , TSH, prolactin levels) of the patients and controls are given in [Table/Fig-2].

The control group and subclinical hypothyroid patients exhibited no significant difference in terms of total and free T_3 , total and free T_4 . For TSH and prolactin on the other hand, a statistically significant elevation was found in patients with overt hypothyroidism when compared to subclinical hypothyroidism; and in patients with subclinical hypothyroidism when compared to the controls ($p < 0.001$) [Table/Fig-2].

When the TSH levels were compared to PRL levels; in patients with subclinical hypothyroidism, correlation of ($r=0.12$, $p=0.29$) was found while in overt hypothyroid patients it was ($r=0.02$, $p=0.85$) suggesting no significant correlation between TSH and prolactin.

The control group and subclinical hypothyroid patients exhibited no significant difference in terms of clinical presentation while a significant statistical difference was observed between the two groups of hypothyroid patients for all hypothyroid symptoms except alopecia and hirsutism. Comparison of symptoms between two groups of patients (subclinical and overt hypothyroid cases) and controls is shown in [Table/Fig-3] ($p < 0.01$).

DISCUSSION

Hyperprolactinemia of variable magnitude (39% to 57%) has been reported in overt hypothyroidism in several studies; but research on

Symptoms	Control (n=75)	Subclinical hypothyroidism (n=75)	Overt hypothyroidism (n=75)	p-value
Age (years) Mean \pm SD	35.32 \pm 7.43	35.07 \pm 7.08	35.08 \pm 4.8	p= 0.96 (one way ANOVA)
Distribution (Female/Male)	63/12	64/11	62/13	

[Table/Fig-1]: Showing distribution of subjects

Lab parameters	Controls Mean \pm SD	Subclinical hypothyroid Mean \pm SD	Overt Hypothyroid Mean \pm SD	p-value
T_3	1.37 \pm 0.25	1.30 \pm 0.21	0.62 \pm 0.15	Control = subclinical $<$ overt $<0.01^*$
fT_3	6.07 \pm 1.08	5.8 \pm 0.75	2.66 \pm 0.51	Control = subclinical $<$ overt $<0.01^*$
T_4	82.3 \pm 12.7	78.7 \pm 10.1	35.1 \pm 7.8	Control = subclinical $<$ overt $<0.01^*$
fT_4	14.5 \pm 3.4	13.8 \pm 1.8	5.8 \pm 1.17	Control = subclinical $<$ overt $<0.01^*$
TSH	2.5 \pm 1.42	7.5 \pm 1.00	16.3 \pm 7.08	Control $<$ subclinical $<$ overt $<0.01^*$
Prolactin	8.2 \pm 5.4	14.1 \pm 8.1	27.9 \pm 5.5	Control $<$ subclinical $<$ overt $<0.01^*$
Hyperprolactinemia (Female/Male)	0	6 (8%) (5/1)	16 (21.33%) (11/5)	p= 0.014 (chi-square test)

[Table/Fig-2]: Showing correlation of Hyperprolactinemia with hypothyroidism
Normal values: As per manufacturer's [Biomerieux] recommendations (T_3 : 0.92- 2.33 nmol/l; fT_3 : 4-8.3 pmol/l; T_4 : 60-120 nmol/l; fT_4 : 9-20 nmol/l; TSH: 0.25-5 μ unit/l; and Prolactin: F: 5-35 ng/ml; M: 3-25 ng/ml)
*One-way-ANOVA with Bonferroni test as a post hoc test for pairwise comparison was performed

Symptoms	Control n (%)	Subclinical hypothyroidism n (%)	Overt hypothyroidism n (%)	p-value*
Fatigue	3 (4 %)	5 (6.67%)	13 (17.33%)	Control = subclinical $<$ overt = 0.02
Dry skin	2(2.67%)	4 (5.33%)	14 (18.67%)	Control = subclinical $<$ overt = 0.005
Cold intolerance	3 (4 %)	4 (5.33%)	15 (20%)	Control = subclinical $<$ overt = 0.003
Constipation	3 (4 %)	5 (6.67%)	11 (14.67 %)	Control = subclinical $<$ overt = 0.05
Weight gain	2(2.67%)	3 (4 %)	16 (21.33%)	Control = subclinical $<$ overt = 0.0007
Alopecia	3 (4 %)	5 (6.67%)	10 (13.33%)	Control = subclinical = overt
Hirsutism	2 (2.67%)	3 (4 %)	07 (9.33%)	Control = subclinical = overt
Muscle Cramps	2 (2.67%)	3 (4 %)	10 (13.33%)	Control = subclinical $<$ overt = 0.02
Menstrual irregularities	4 (5.33%)	6 (8%)	17 (22.67%)	Control = subclinical $<$ overt = 0.006

[Table/Fig-3]: Showing comparison symptom wise
*p-value is based on chi-square test

the prevalence and extent of hyperprolactinemia in SCH are few and has different results [9-12].

Several mechanisms have been proposed for the increase in prolactin levels in primary hypothyroidism. First, elevated prolactin levels can be attributed to TRH which is a physiologic mediator of both PRL and TSH release [13]. A higher prevalence of hyperprolactinemia in hypothyroid females than males as observed in our study is because hypothyroidism *per se* is not sufficient to cause hyperprolactinemia and other stimulus, such as estrogen, is required for this effect [14,15]. Second, prolactin clearance may be decreased in hypothyroid patients [16]. Third, reduction in sensitivity of prolactin production to the inhibitory action of dopamine and dopamine agonists as suggested by Foord et al., in their study on cultured anterior pituitary cells from hypothyroid rats [17]. Fourth, thyroid hormone itself may also play an important role in the cause of hyperprolactinaemia. Davis et al., noticed that 3,5,3'-triiodothyronine reduces prolactin messenger RNA levels in rodent pituitary cells [18]. Thus, decreased circulating thyroid hormone levels result in increased prolactin synthesis.

While peripheral hypothyroidism is a classical cause of hyperprolactinemia, hyperprolactinemia is rarely the presenting symptom of hypothyroidism. In the study by Raber et al., 84 out of 1003 (8%) hypothyroid patients presented with hyperprolactinemia [19]. Meier et al., in their study on 66 patients of subclinical hypothyroidism reported the prevalence of hyperprolactinemia as 19%. Further when these patients were randomly treated with placebo or L-thyroxine; prolactin levels returned to normal in the ones treated with L-thyroxine while PRL levels remained high in those who received placebo [20]. Several case series also report normalization of serum PRL levels after L-thyroxine treatment in patients with overt hypothyroidism but similar studies in patients with subclinical hypothyroidism are few [19-21].

Notsu et al., measured prolactin levels in 15 healthy controls and in 74 Hashimoto's thyroiditis patients of which 42 were euthyroid, 18 had subclinical and 14 had overt hypothyroidism and prolactin was found to be elevated in 42% of the overt hypothyroid patients, 11% in the subclinical hypothyroid patients, and 14% of the euthyroid patients [21].

In our study hyperprolactinaemia was more common in overt hypothyroidism patients (21%) than in those patients with subclinical hypothyroidism (8%). The serum PRL levels in subclinical hypothyroid group were significantly higher than those in controls and in overt hypothyroid patients when compared with subclinical hypothyroid individuals. When the TSH levels were compared to PRL levels in patients with subclinical hypothyroidism, correlation of ($r=0.12$, $p=0.29$) was found while in overt hypothyroid patients it was ($r=0.02$, $p=0.85$) suggesting no significant correlation between TSH and prolactin. Similar findings have been observed by Hekimsoy et al., in their study on 200 hypothyroid individuals [22].

Bahar A et al., in their study on subclinical hypothyroid patients for clinical related symptoms observed 23.5% menstrual disorders in hyperprolactinemic patients and 21.8% in normal prolactin groups [23]. According to Binita Goswami's study, amenorrhoea occurs in hypothyroidism due to hyperprolactinaemia which results from a defect in the positive feedback of oestrogen on LH, and

because of LH and FSH suppression [24]. Supporting this view is the study by Turankar et al., who reported increase in the serum prolactin levels in infertile women as compared to those in the fertile ones in the control group [25]. In our study as well we observed raised PRL concentration in 4 out of 6 subclinically hypothyroid women with menstrual disorders and 10 out of 17 females with overt hypothyroidism.

The increase in the incidence of hyperprolactinemia even in patients with subclinical hypothyroidism emphasizes the significance of prolactin screening in all hypothyroid cases. If thyroid tests are normal in a patient with high PRL levels, further tests should be done to determine the aetiology of the hyperprolactinaemia.

CONCLUSION

PRL regulation is altered, not just in patients with overt hypothyroidism, but in those with subclinical hypothyroidism as well. Thyroid function tests should be performed in patients with hyperprolactinaemia before performing further tests.

REFERENCES

- [1] Surks MI, Ortiz E, Daniels GH, et al. Subclinical thyroid disease: scientific review and guidelines for diagnosis and management. *JAMA*. 2004;14(291):228-38.
- [2] Harris AR, Christianson O, Smith MS, Fang SL, Braverman LE, Vagenakis AG. The physiological role of thyrotropin-releasing hormone in the regulation of thyrotropin-stimulating hormone and prolactin secretion in the rat. *J Clin Invest*. 1978;61:441-48.
- [3] Hall R, Amos J, Garry R, Buxton AL. Thyroid-stimulating hormone response to synthetic thyrotropin releasing hormone in man. *Br Med J*. 1970;1:274-77.
- [4] de Greef WJ, van der Schoot P. Some recent developments in the study of prolactin in mammals. *Front Hormonal Research*. 1985;14:70-99.
- [5] Prabhakar VK, Davis JR. Hyperprolactinaemia. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol*. 2008; 22(2):341-53.
- [6] Demssie YN, Davis JR. Hyperprolactinaemia. *Clin Med*. 2008;8(2):216-19.
- [7] Luboshitzky R, Aviv A, Herer P, Lavie L. Risk factors for cardiovascular disease in women with subclinical hypothyroidism. *Thyroid*. 2002;12:421-25.
- [8] Rodondi N, Aujesky D, Vittinghoff E, Cornuz J, Bauer DC. Subclinical hypothyroidism and the risk of coronary heart disease: a meta-analysis. *Am J Med*. 2006;119:541-51.
- [9] Olive KE, Hennessey JV. Marked hyperprolactinemia in subclinical hypothyroidism. *Arch Intern Med*. 1988;148:2278-79.
- [10] Gerhard I, Eggert-Kruse W, Merzoug K, Klinga K, Runnebaum B. Thyrotropin-releasing hormone and metoclopramide testing in infertile women. *Gynecol Endocrinol*. 1991;5:15-32.
- [11] Honbo KS, van Herle AJ, Kellett KA. Serum prolactin levels in untreated primary hypothyroidism. *Am J Med*. 1978;64:782-87.
- [12] Thomas R, Reid RL. Thyroid disease and reproductive dysfunction: A review. *Obstet Gynecol*. 1987;70:789-98.
- [13] Seri O, Chik CL, Ur E, Ezzat S. Diagnosis and management of hyperprolactinemia. *MAJ*. 2003;169(16):575-81.
- [14] Katznelson L, Riskind PN, Saxe VC, Klibanski A. Prolactin pulsatile characteristics in postmenopausal women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 1998;83:761-64.
- [15] Jacobs LS, Snyder PJ, Utiger RD, Daughaday WH. Prolactin response to thyrotropin releasing hormone in normal subjects. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 1973;36:1069-73.
- [16] Asa SL, Ezzat S. The pathogenesis of pituitary tumours. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2002; 2:836-49.
- [17] Foord SM, Peters JR, Dieguez C, Jasani B, Hall R, Scanlon MF. Hypothyroid pituitary cells in culture: an analysis of thyrotropin and prolactin response to dopamine (DA) and DA receptor binding. *Endocrinology*. 1984;115:407-15.
- [18] Davis JR, Lynam TC, Franklyn JA, Docherty K, Sheppard MC. Tri-iodothyronine and phenytoin reduce prolactin messenger RNA levels in cultured rat pituitary cells. *J Endocrinol*. 1986;109:359-64.
- [19] Raber W, Gessl A, Nowotny P, Vierhapper H. Hyperprolactinaemia in hypothyroidism: clinical significance and impact of TSH normalization. *Clin Endocrinol*. 2003;58(2):185-91.
- [20] Meier C, Christ-Crain M, Guglielmetti M, Huber P, Staub JJ, Müller B. Prolactin dysregulation in women with subclinical hypothyroidism: effect of levothyroxine replacement therapy. *Thyroid*. 2003;13(10):979-85.
- [21] Notsu K, Ito Y, Furuya H, Ohguni S, Kato Y. Incidence of hyperprolactinemia in patients with Hashimoto's thyroiditis. *Endocr J*. 1997;44(1):89-94.
- [22] Hekimsoy Z, Kafesçiler S, Güçlü F, Bilgin Özmen B. The prevalence of hyperprolactinaemia in overt and subclinical hypothyroidism. *Endocrine Journal*. 2010;57(12):1011-15.
- [23] Bahar A, Akha O, Kashi Z, Vesgari Z. Hyperprolactinemia in association with subclinical hypothyroidism. *Caspian J Intern Med*. 2011;2(2):229-33.
- [24] Binita Goswami, et al. Correlation of Prolactin and Thyroid Hormone Concentration with Menstrual Patterns in Infertile Women. *J Reprod Infertil*. 2009;10(3):207-12.
- [25] Turankar S, Sonone K, Turankar A. Hyperprolactinemia and its comparison with hypothyroidism in primary infertile women. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*. 2013; 7(5):794-96.

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

1. Assistant Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Kalpana Chawla Government Medical College, Karnal, Haryana, India.
2. Assistant Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Hamdard Institute of Medical Science and Research, Hamdard University, New Delhi, India.
3. Senior Resident, Department of Pathology, Kalpana Chawla Government Medical College, Karnal, Haryana, India.
4. Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Subharti Medical College, Swami Vivekanand Subharti University, Meerut, India.
5. EIS Officer, National Center for Disease Control (NCDC), New Delhi, India.

NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Dr. Bharat K Gupta,
Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Subharti Medical College, Swami Vivekanand Subharti University, Meerut- 250005, India.
E-mail: anchitbharat@hotmail.com

FINANCIAL OR OTHER COMPETING INTERESTS: None.

Date of Submission: **May 14, 2014**

Date of Peer Review: **Aug 12, 2014**

Date of Acceptance: **Sep 17, 2014**

Date of Publishing: **Jan 01, 2015**