# Morphometric and Histological Study of Osteophytes in Human Cadaveric Lumbar Vertebrae

ASHWINI AITHAL PADUR<sup>1</sup>, NAVEEN KUMAR<sup>2</sup>, SWAMY RAVINDRA SHANTHAKUMAR<sup>3</sup>, ARIJIT BISHNU<sup>4</sup>

# ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** Osteophytes are bony outgrowth on the vertebral column. Its prevalence in the lumbar region and clinical importance mandates to conduct a detailed study of lumbar osteophytes in the cadaveric vertebral column.

**Aim:** The present study was conducted to study the detailed features of lumbar osteophytes and document its prevalence, morphometric and histological structure.

**Materials and Methods:** This was an observational study in which frequency of occurrence of lumbar osteophytes was studied in 40 cadaveric vertebral columns over a period of four years. The lumbar part of the vertebral columns was dissected and examined meticulously. The occurrence of lumbar osteophytes with their vertebral levels and morphometric measurements were recorded. A small excision of the osteophyte was processed histologically to study its microscopic details using routine Haematoxylin & Eosin stain.

**Results:** Lumbar osteophytes were present in 4 specimens (10%). They were mostly found on the right side of the vertebral bodies. Histopathological examination of the osteophytes revealed degenerative osteophytic cartilage and fibrillation overlying the trabecular bone enclosing fatty marrow spaces containing haematopoietic elements.

**Conclusion:** Lumbar osteophytes were found in 10% of the specimens studied and it is assumed that these cadaveric reports deserve further attention given their potential clinical implications. Knowledge regarding occurrence and incidence of osteophytes is essential for management of common degenerative changes of the vertebral column.

Keywords: Bony spurs, Cadaveric study, Osteroarthritis, Vertebral osteophytes

### **INTRODUCTION**

Osteoarthritis is complicated by different types of degenerative changes including osteophyte formation on spinal vertebral bodies. Osteophytes or bony spurs are defined as abnormal bony growths or projections formed along the intervertebral joints. Although vertebral osteophytes are considered as a common finding in the cadaveric anatomy. More importantly, vertebral osteophytes are reported as a common radiological finding affecting 20–30% of the elderly population [1]. Studies indicate that the risk of development of osteophytes in the vertebral column increases with the age [2]. Cvijetic et al., found that 21.3% of men and 23.9% of women over 45 years of age had osteophytes on the lumbar spine [3]. The observations of Pye et al., depicted that when the mean age is 65 years of age, 73% of men and women had evidence of lumbar osteophytes [4].

The appearance of lumbar osteophytes might result in medical problems and can remain unnoticed without any adverse effects. Though important, its real significance is yet far from clear. On literature review, it was found that cadaveric studies of lumbar osteophytes are scanty and there are no reports on the histopathology of these osteophytes. Hence, a detailed morphometric and histological study of lumbar osteophytes was conducted in the cadaveric vertebral spines to understand the frequency of their occurrence and distribution.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

During routine cadaveric dissections for medical students, the presence of lumbar osteophytes in dissected specimens was noticed. This was an observational study in which, frequency of occurrence of lumbar osteophytes in 40 male cadavers of South Indian origin over a period of four years i.e., from March 2013 to February 2017 were examined. The cadavers were obtained from the Department of Anatomy. The approximate age of the cadavers

ranged from 55-80 years. Vertebral columns with no signs of trauma, congenital anomalies were included in the study. Any vertebral column which had deformity, signs of trauma, general widespread bone disease, or local disease of vertebral column were excluded from the study. Osteophytes were considered to be present if a bony protrusion bigger than 5mm was observed on the vertebra. Each lumbar vertebra was examined in the specimen. Osteophytes were diagnosed when bony outgrowths developed on the margins of vertebral bodies. The lumbar part of the vertebral column was dissected and cleaned. The soft tissue was removed. When osteophytes were found to be present, their vertebral level, exact distribution on the vertebral body, its vertical and transverse diameters in centimeters were recorded using a scale. The procured data was compiled, organized, and analysed by using percentage statistics. The results obtained were represented as tables using Microsoft Office 2016. A small piece of the osteophyte was removed and preserved in 10% formalin. It was processed for histopathological examination without decalcification. The sections were stained with routine Haematoxylin & Eosin (H&E) stains and examined under the microscope using different magnifications for histopathological studies and photographs were taken.

# RESULTS

## **Gross Anatomy Findings**

Out of the 40 specimens studied, the occurrence of osteophytes was seen in 4 lumbar vertebral column (10%). Detailed morphometric measurements of these osteophytes, with their vertebral levels and distribution is shown in [Table/Fig-1]. All osteophytes were present on the anterolateral or lateral side of the vertebral bodies near the intervertebral discs. It was noticed that the osteophytes occured mostly on the right side of the vertebral bodies than the left side (5 on right side, 3 on left side of vertebra). Photographs of the lumbar

Specimen number	Position	Vertebral level	Morphometric measurements
1 (osteophytes: 2)	Right and left, anterolateral side of vertebral body	L2 (Bilateral)	Right: Vertical= 2.5 cm Transverse= 2.5 cm Left: Vertical= 2.5 cm Transverse= 3 cm
2 (osteophytes: 2)	Right and left, anterolateral side of vertebral body	L3 (Bilateral)	Right: Vertical= 1.4 cm Transverse= 1.5 cm Left: Vertical= 1.6 cm Transverse= 2 cm
3 (osteophytes:1)	Right, lateral side of vertebral body	L4	Vertical=3.8 cm Transverse= 3 cm
4 (osteophytes: 3)	Right, lateral side of vertebral body Right and left, anterolateral side of vertebral body	L4 L5 (Bilateral)	Vertical=3.8 cm Transverse= 3 cm Right: Vertical= 2.5 cm Transverse= 2.5 cm Left: Vertical= 2.5 cm Transverse= 3 cm

[Table/Fig-1]: Table is showing the occurrence and distribution of lumbar c phytes and their detailed morphometric measurements.



[Table/Fig-2]: Dissection of the lumbar vertebral column showing osteophyte growth at the level of L3 vertebra (highlighting left side). [Table/Fig-3]: Dissection of the lumbar vertebral column showing osteophyte growth at the level of L4 (right - unilateral) and L5 vertebrae (bilateral).



[Table/Fig-4]: Dissection of the lumbar vertebral column showing osteophyte growth at the level of L4 and L5 vertebrae (highlighting right side). [Table/Fig-5]: Dissection of the lumbar vertebral column showing osteophyte growth at the level of L2 vertebrae (bilateral). [Table/Fig-6]: Dissection of the lumbar vertebral column showing osteophyte growth at the level of L4 (right).

vertebral column showing the presence of osteophytes at different vertebral level are shown in figures [Table/Fig-2-6].

#### **Histological Findings**

The H&E stained sections of the osteophytes showed features which resembled a cancellous bone. Sections show fragment of degenerative osteophytic cartilage with the foci showing fibrillation overlying trabecular bone enclosing fatty marrow spaces containing haematopoietic elements. There was no evidence of granuloma in any of the sections studied [Table/Fig-7,8].

#### DISCUSSION

Osteophytes are outgrowths on the vertebral columns. It is a common change that occurs with age and closely resembles the



process of chondrogenesis and endochondral bone formation. This fact was evident in the histological analysis of osteophyte done in the present study wherein, a layer of osteophytic cartilage capping the trabecular bone was noted, which is an indication of endochondral ossification. The pathogenesis of osteophytes begins with the degeneration of the vertebral column precisely, the nucleus pulposus [5]. As one ages, the nucleus pulposus loses its aqueous content, resulting in decreased height of the intervertebral disc and less resiliency to load-bearing weight. Alongside, the annulus fibrosus also begins to lose elasticity. To maintain maximal loadbearing potential, the margins of the zygapophyseal joint form bony projections to increase the surface area for weight distribution. This results in the formation of bony protrusion called osteophytes from the vertebral body [6]. There are many theories regarding the formation of osteophytes. Schmorl G et al., formulated a pathogenic hypothesis that because of tears in the attachment of the annulus fibrosus, the nucleus pulposus protrudes forward against the anterior longitudinal ligament. The increased strain causes the formation of spurs on the vertebral bodies (Schmorl's rim lesion theory) [7]. Collins formulated a theory of osteophyte formation that associates degeneration of the entire intervertebral disc and the resultant anterior protrusion with subsequent osteophyte formation. The protrusion of the disc lifts the periosteum lateral to anterior longitudinal ligament and stimulates new subperiosteal bone (Collins' bulging disc theory) [8]. According to Macnab's theory, osteophytes are formed as a result of instability between adjacent vertebral bodies (Macnab's instability theory) [9].

Kasai et al., in their clinical study on anterior lumbar vertebral osteophytes, distributed the osteophytes into six groups based on the direction of extension of each pair of osteophytes across the intervertebral disc space: Group A, no osteophytes; Group B, the pair of osteophytes extended in the direction of the adjacent disc; Group C, there was almost complete bone bridge formation by a pair of osteophytes across the intervertebral disc space; Group D, the pair of osteophytes extended in a direction away from the adjacent disc; Group E, the osteophytes extended nearly horizontally to the vertebral body border without closing the intervertebral disc space; and Group F, ungroupable [10]. The anterolateral osteophytes of the above classification wherein the osteophytes extended in the direction of the disc of the disc space.

Occurrence of lumbar osteophytes is clinically important. Studies have shown a significant association between increased anterior lumbar osteophytes and prevalence of abdominal aortic calcification [11]. The presence of calcified lesions in the abdominal aorta has been associated with cardiovascular morbidity and mortality [12]. The present study found anterior and lateral osteophytes in greater numbers. These osteophytes can pressurize the abdominal aorta or inferior vena cava wall leading to ischemia or perforation. The close proximity of the abdominal aorta and inferior vena cava to the lumbar part of vertebral column is attributed to the pathological findings in such cases. Due to this lumbar osteophytes have also been associated with inferior vena cava obstruction [13]. Posterior lumbar osteophytes when presented may contribute to lumbar stenosis. Postero-lateral osteophytes can compress lumbar spinal nerve roots causing back and leg pain [14]. Osteophyte-induced nerve root compression is a common clinical scenario in recent years. In a study by Matsumoto et al., found 6 out of 7 cadavers with osteophytes on the vertebral bodies of L5 and S1, which entrapped the L5 nerve in the lumbosacral tunnel [15]. However, entrapment of nerve by osteophytes was not found in the present study but the impingement by osteophytes should be kept in mind by the clinicians treating patients who have definite L5 radiculopathy.

Study of osteophytes is also important to anthropologists because it is hypothesized that skeletal osteophyte findings can be used for age-at-death estimations [16]. The occurrence of vertebral osteophytes is an enigma to anatomists, clinicians, anthropologists, and radiologists. Hence, further research will be required to investigate the osteophyte development, the mechanisms underlying osteophyte formation and its molecular characterization.

Future studies can be focused on exploring prevalence of osteophytes in cervical and thoracic region of the vertebral column. Further evaluation using radiological means can be studied in clinically relevant cases in association with gross anatomical specimens. Using special stains for glycosaminoglycans, composition of osteophytes can be explored in detail which might give full explanation of the factors contributing to vertebral osteophyte development.

### LIMITATION

Limitation of the study were inadequate sample size and comparison using an appropriate statistical test under age /gender distribution and bilateral occurrence of osteophytes could not be assessed.

## **CONCLUSION**

In current study lumbar osteophytes were found in 10% of the specimens studied. Histological analysis of the osteophytes showed fragments of degenerative osteophytic cartilage layer capping the trabecular bone, which is an indication that osteophytes develop through endochondral ossification. These cadaveric reports deserve further attention given their potential clinical implications. Knowledge

regarding occurrence and incidence of osteophytes is essential to understand for the management of common degenerative changes of the vertebral column.

## REFERENCES

- Brown DE Neumann BD. Orthopedic secrets. In Osteoarthritis. Chap I. 3rd edu. [1] Elsevier, Philadelphia, 2004. pp 1-3.
- Snodgrass JJ. Sex differences and aging of the vertebral column. J Forensic Sci. [2] 2004:49(3):458-63.
- Cvijetic S, McCloskey E, Korsic M. Vertebral osteophytosis and vertebral [3] deformities in an elderly population sample. Wien Klin Wochenschr. 2000:112:407-12.
- [4] Pye SR, Reid DM, Lunt M, Adams JE, Silman AJ, O'Neill TW et al. Lumbar disc degeneration: Association between osteophytes, end-plate sclerosis and disc space narrowing. Ann Rheum Dis. 2007;66:330-33.
- Nathan H. Osteophytes of the vertebral column: An Anatomical study of their [5] development according to age, race, and sex with considerations as to their etiology and significance. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1962;44:243-68.
- Klaassen Z, Tubbs SR, Apaydin N, Hage R, Jordan R, Loukas M et al. Vertebral [6] spinal osteophytes. Anat Sci Int. 2011:86:1-9.
- Schmorl G, Junghanns H. The Human Spine in Health and Disease (2^{nd} American [7] edition), Translated and edited by Besemann EF, New York: Grune and Stratton; 1971.
- [8] Collins DH. The Pathology of Articular and Spinal Diseases. Baltimore. The Williams and Wilkins Co., 1950. pp. 283.
- [9] Macnab I. The traction spur: An indicator of spinal instability. J Bone Joint Surg [Am] 1971;53(4):663-70.
- Kasai Y, Kawakita E, Sakakibara T, Akeda K, Uchida A. Direction of the [10] formation of anterior lumbar vertebral osteophytes. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2009:10:4.
- [11] Karasik D, Kiel DP, Kiely DK, Cupples LA, Wilson PW, O'Donnell CJ, et al. Abdominal aortic calcification and exostoses at the hand and lumbar spine: The Framingham Study. Calcif Tissue Int. 2006;78:1-8.
- [12] Iribarren C, Sidney S, Sternfeld B, Browner WS. Calcification of the Aortic Arch: Risk factors and association with coronary heart disease, stroke, and peripheral vascular disease. JAMA. 2000;283:2810-15.
- [13] Scapinelli R. Compression of the inferior vena cava due to diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis. Rev Rhum Engl Ed. 1997;64:198-201.
- [14] Chanapa P, Yoshiyuki T, Mahakkanukrauh P. Distribution and length of osteophytes in the lumbar vertebrae and risk of rupture of abdominal aortic aneurysms: A study of dry bones from Chiang Mai, Thailand. Anat Cell Biol. 2014;47:157-16.
- [15] Matsumoto M, Chiba K, Nojiri K, Ishikawa M, Toyama Y, Nishikawa Y et al. Extraforaminal entrapment of the fifth lumbar spinal nerve by osteophytes of the lumbosacral spine: anatomic study and a report of four cases. Spine. 2002:27:E169-73.
- [16] MacLaughlin SM, Oldale KN. Vertebral body diameters and sex prediction. Ann Hum Biol. 1992;19:285-92.

#### PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

- Senior Grade Lecturer, Department of Anatomy, Melaka Manipal Medical College, Manipal University, Manipal, Udupi, Karnataka, India.
- Assistant Professor, Department of Anatomy, Melaka Manipal Medical College, Manipal University, Manipal, Udupi, Karnataka, India. 2
- 3.
- Senior Grade Lecturer, Department of Anatomy, Melaka Manipal Medical College, Manipal University, Manipal, Udupi, Karnataka, India. Assistant Professor, Department of Pathology, Melaka Manipal Medical College, Manipal University, Manipal, Udupi, Karnataka, India. 4.

#### NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Dr. Naveen Kumar.

Assistant Professor, Department of Anatomy, Melaka Manipal Medical College, Manipal University, Manipal, Udupi-576104, Karnataka, India. E-mail: naveentonse@gmail.com

FINANCIAL OR OTHER COMPETING INTERESTS: None.

Date of Submission: Apr 26, 2017 Date of Peer Review: May 31, 2017 Date of Acceptance: Jul 29, 2017 Date of Publishing: Oct 01, 2017