Evaluation of Friction in Orthodontics Using Various Brackets and Archwire Combinations-An in Vitro Study

ABSTRACT

AIM: The aim of this study was to compare frictional resistance which was produced between conventional brackets (0.022 slot Otho-Organiser) and self ligating brackets (active Forestadent and passive Damon III) by using various arch wire combinations (0.016 Niti, 0.018 Niti, 0.017 x 0.025 SS and 0.019 x 0.025 SS).

Materials and Methods: An experimental model which consisted of 5 aligned stainless steel 0.022-in brackets was used to assess frictional forces which were produced by SLBs (self ligating brackets) and CEls (conventional elastomeric ligatures) with use of 0.016 nickel titanium, 0.018 nickel titanium, 0.017 x 0.025”stainless steel and 0.019 x 0.025”stainless steel wires.

INTRODUCTION

Friction is a force that retards or resists the relative motion of two objects which are in contact. The direction of friction is tangential to the common boundary of the two surfaces which are in contact [1].

Friction encountered during tooth movement can be considered to occur in 2 distinct phases.

1. Physical/mechanical factors such as
   i) Archwire properties: material, cross sectional shape/size, surface texture and stiffness.
   ii) Bracket to archwire ligation: ligatures, wires, elastomerics and method of ligation.
   iii) Bracket properties: material, surface treatment, manufacturing process, slot width and depth, bracket design, bracket prescription, orthodontic appliances, interbracket distance, level of bracket slots between teeth and forces applied for retraction.

2. Biological factors such as
   Saliva, plaque, acquired pellicle, corrosion and food particles [1]. Various methods, therefore, have been proposed to reduce the friction of ligation, such as loosely tied stainless steel ligatures [2], self ligating brackets (SLBs) [3-6], and unconventional ligation systems [7-9]. Stainless steel ligatures produce variable ligation forces and consume lot of time for their placements [10]. SLBs are ligatureless bracket systems that have a mechanical device which is built into bracket, to close off the slot. From patient perspective, SLBs are generally smoother, more comfortable and easier to clean, because of the absence of wire ligatures. Reduced chair time is another significant advantage [11]. In recent years, various SLBs have been developed, those that have a spring clip that presses against the archwire (active or interactive SLBs) such as, SPEED, (Strite Industries, Cambridge, Ontario, Canada), InOvation (GAC International, Bohemia, NY), Quick (Forestadent, USA, St Louis,Mo), and Time2 brackets (American Orthodontics, Sheboygan, Wis); and those in which the self-ligating clip does not press against the wire (passive SLBs), such as Damon (SDS Ormco, Orange, Calif), SmartClip, (3MUnitek, Monrovia, Calif) and Opal (Ultradent, Products, South Jordon, Utah). Passive SLBs have shown consistently less friction during sliding mechanics than active SLBs, with exception of undersized round archwires [3,4,6].

Hence, the present study was undertaken to compare frictional resistance which was produced by conventional brackets (0.022 slot Otho-Organiser) [Table/Fig-1] and self ligating brackets (active Forestadent and passive Damon III) [Table/Fig-2,3] on using various arch wire combinations (0.016 Niti, 0.018 Niti, 0.017 x 0.025 SS and 0.019 x 0.025 SS).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

An experimental model which reproduced segments of maxillary and mandibular arches was used to assess the frictional forces which were produced by two types of brackets, self ligating brackets and conventional stainless steel brackets. A total of 12 rectangular blocks were of cold cure acrylic were made to fix the brackets. The buccal segment model consisted of 5 brackets for second premolar, the canine, the lateral incisor and central incisor. A 10 cm straight length wire which had to be tested was placed into the bracket slot and it was ligated passively to the tie wings with ties (Table/Fig-4).

METHODOLOGY

A 0.025”stainless steel and 0.019 X 0.025”stainless steel wires.

Statistical Analysis: One way ANOVA test was used to study the effect of the bracket type, wire alloy and section on frictional resistance test.

Results: Conventional brackets produced highest levels of friction for all bracket/archwire combinations. Both Damon III and Forestadent brackets were found to produce significantly lower levels of friction when they were compared with elastomerically tied conventional brackets.

Conclusion: SLBs are valid alternatives for low friction during sliding mechanics.
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elastomerics ligatures (TMOrtho Organizer USA) for conventional stainless steel brackets, and for the self ligating brackets, by closing the cap.

The friction which was generated by the testing unit, which consisted of the wire, brackets and ligation systems was measured under dry conditions and at room temperature by using an Instron testing machine TMinstron Corp, Canton, Mass which had a load cell of 10 N. The testing machine was calibrated by the Instron Calibration Laboratory in terms of crosshead displacement/speed and load cell. The test wire was inserted into testing unit, its bottom end was clamped by a vise and it was mounted on machine crosshead. 10 cm length of archwire was used to prevent any distortion to bracket slots. [Table/Fig-5] Each bracket slot was cleaned with spirit and dried with compressed air before running each test. The test wire was pulled through the brackets at a speed of 0.5 mm per minute. The drawing force (P) was evaluated four times for each arch wire and bracket assembly on each occasion. A total of 192 tests were run. The load cell registers the force level which is needed to move the wire along the 5 aligned brackets and the levels are transmitted to computer.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
Descriptive statistics, including mean, SD, median, minimum and maximum values were calculated for each bracket archwire combination. A one way ANOVA test was used to study the effect of the bracket type, wire alloy and section on frictional resistance test. In addition, pair wise comparisons at an overall significance level of 0.05, were made between the three types of brackets and four types of archwires by using Tukey's method. One way ANOVA test was used to study the effect of the bracket type, wire alloy and section on frictional resistance test, as has been shown in [Table/Fig-7]. A highly significant difference was found between the three bracket systems by using various archwire combinations (p-value<0.001). In addition, pair wise comparisons were made at an overall significance level of 0.05, between the three types of brackets and four types of archwires by using Tukey's method.

It can be seen from [Table/Fig-8], that Damon 3 SLTM (Sybron Dental Specialities Omnicorp California) demonstrated the lowest friction for all dimensions of the test wire. Conventional bracketTM (OrthoOrganiser USA) produced the highest friction with all wire dimensions which were tested. ForestadentTM(Pforzheim Germany) SL and Damon 3 SLTM (Sybron Dental Specialities Omnicorp California) showed no significant differences. With all the bracket systems, the 0.019 x 0.025 inch stainless steel wires produced highest friction. The mean forces of the both these self ligating brackets with 0.019 x 0.025 inch stainless steel were significantly different from conventional bracketTM (OrthoOrganiser USA). With increase in wire dimensions, all the bracket types were found to be significantly different from each other, as can be seen in [Table/Fig-9].

The results demonstrate a difference in the friction which was produced in self ligating brackets and elastomerically tied brackets.

1. Conventional brackets produced highest levels of friction for all bracket/archwire combinations. Both Damon III and Forestadent brackets produced significantly lower levels of friction as compared to electrometrically tied conventional brackets.
2. In the conventional brackets, the friction increased with increasing archwire dimensions. However, the use of elastomeric modules for ligation significantly increased the friction.
3. Friction increased with Forestadent brackets when the arch wire depth was greater than 0.017 inch, because of contact of the spring clip with archwire in the slot. With Damon self ligating brackets, friction was negligible at lower archwire dimensions and it was very low, even with a 0.019 x 0.025 inch rectangular archwire.
4. Effect of archwire size on frictional resistance was confirmed, that is, as the archwire size increased, the frictional resistance increased.

RESULTS
The present study was carried out to evaluate the friction which was generated between conventional and self ligating brackets by using various arch wire combinations. Conventional brackets were ligated with elastomeric modules, whereas for Damon III and Forestadent brackets, a self ligating mechanism was used. In this study, factors influencing friction were studied;ligature and wire (levels), as has been stated below [Table/Fig-6].

DISCUSSION
This laboratory study was designed to compare the friction which was produced by various brackets and archwire combinations.
Tipping and torquing forces can also affect the frictional resistance during space closure. However, these factors were not studied in this investigation. It must be remembered in any in vitro study, this investigation cannot reproduce what occurs clinically during orthodontic tooth movement, but great care was taken to ensure that the methodology was comparable with those of previously published works [12].

Frictional force has two components; the initial friction which occurs between the archwire and the bracket when a force is applied, is termed as static friction and it must overcome to initiate tooth movement. As the tooth moves, the second component of friction, which is termed as dynamic friction, occurs when the archwire moves in the direction of the applied force, as it is guided through the molar and premolar bracket slots. The cause of frictional resistance between archwires and brackets is multifactorial and it varies with archwire size and material [13-15], mode of ligation [12,16,17], bracket width [18,19] and wire to bracket angulation [7].

The present study was carried out to evaluate the friction which was generated between conventional and self ligating brackets by using various arch wire combinations. Conventional brackets were ligated using elastomeric modules, whereas a self ligating mechanism was used to ligate Damon III and Forestadent brackets. In general, the Damon self ligating brackets produced the lowest friction [Table/Fig-8]. Both self ligating systems consistently produced low levels of friction. Achieving ligation using an elastomeric module significantly increases the friction which is produced. This study supports the findings of previous investigations, which showed that a higher frictional resistance occurred with elastomeric ties as compared to self ligating mechanisms [12,16,20]. Sims et al., [12] investigated friction which was produced in two forms of self ligating brackets and in two methods which were used for ligating “A” Company Mini Twin brackets with polyurethane elastomeric ligatures. The results indicated that self ligating brackets required less force to produce tooth movement than conventionally tied Siamese brackets. Generally, friction appears to increase as archwire diameter increases [14,15] and the results of this investigation supported this view. With all three bracket types, the 0.019 x 0.025 inch stainless steel wire produced the highest friction. The frictional resistance was greater for the Forestadent brackets with 0.019 x 0.025 inch stainless steel wires than for Damon III self ligating brackets, for same dimension.

A study done by Gurmeet K et al., [21] concluded that passive self ligating mechanisms of smart clip and Damon3MX brackets showed the least values as compared to active self ligating mechanisms of...
Time 2 and Innovation R brackets. Similar results were found in this present study, in which Damon III passive self ligating bracket system demonstrated the lowest friction for all dimensions of the test wires [Table/Fig-7,9]. Forestadent Active self ligating bracket system demonstrated higher friction than Damon III passive self ligating system, which was probably related to special spring design. These findings were in agreement with those of previous studies that had compared the frictional properties of active and passive self ligating brackets [2,22,23,24,25]. Conventional bracket system produced highest friction with all wire dimensions which were tested. With all the bracket systems, 0.019 x 0.025 inch stainless steel wires produced highest friction. The results of the present study clearly highlight the effectiveness of newer generation passive self ligating brackets, almost as a friction-free alternative to conventional ligature systems. Passive, self ligating systems are undoubtedly the most efficient, as they show lower values of friction with respect to 0.019 x 0.025 inch stainless steel archwires as well. Future studies should incorporate test designs on similar lines as were employed by the present study, with additional parameters which can comparatively evaluate other alloy compositions against the interactive influence of the oral environment, especially saliva, so that a more complete and thorough clinical solution can be derived. Additionally, studies may also be carried out by using varying archwire dimensions which are clinically relevant, such as incorporating a 0.017 X 0.025 inch TMA archwire which is widely used in loop mechanics.

CONCLUSION
Reduction in frictional forces during sliding mechanics increases the efficiency of the orthodontic treatment. A myriad of innovations have been made to decrease frictional forces. Some of the most recent attempts which have been made, include the introduction of self ligating brackets and non conventional ligature systems. This laboratory study measured the mean forces which were required to overcome friction which occurred with use of various brackets and archwire combinations. The results demonstrated a difference in the friction which was produced in self ligating brackets and elastomerically tied brackets. Considering the various aspects of the present study, it can be concluded that both the self ligating brackets showed negligible friction levels as compared to conventional ligations. Hence, SLBs are an ideal alternative to conventional ligations, for reducing friction.
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