Bilateral Acute Epidural Hematoma with Good Outcome
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Abstract
Epidural haematomas are one of the most common complicated closed-head injuries, but they, rarely show any bilateral localization. We are reporting here a case of a man found unconscious with Glasgow Coma Scale score 8/15. Computed tomography of skull revealed bilateral epidural hematoma. Two emergency craniotomies were performed simultaneously, with satisfactory radiological control and neurological outcome. We discussed the aspects of aetiology and treatment about this unusual condition.
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CASE REPORT
An unknown middle aged man was found unconscious and was brought to our service. On the admission, the patient was comatose and his Glasgow Coma Scale was 8/15. Both the pupils were isochoric and reactive to light with left periorbital edema and a report of seizure. ATLS (Advanced Trauma Life Support) primary survey did not reveal any other abnormality. A Computed Tomography (CT) was taken which showed asymmetric Bilateral Epidural Hematoma (BEH) that measuring 127cm³ at left and 57cm³ at right, with a total volume of 184cm³, and bilateral overlying skull fracture [Table/Fig-1]. He underwent emergency surgery for removal of the Epidural Haematomas (EDH). Both the focal lesions had immediate surgical indication and the drainage was performed simultaneously. The head was placed in Mayfield tongs in neutral position; this cranial fixation system was placed in the midline of the skull, with the tongs fixation slightly diverted to avoid holes on the sagittal sinus. Tongs application on the skull fractures was avoided. Incisions were made at the same time. The craniotomies were also performed simultaneously. Once completed trepanation on one side, already was performed and the other side, quickly performed the two large craniotomies without complications, with satisfactory CT control [Table/Fig-2]. The patient had a prolonged hospitalization due to clinical complications and the need for inpatient rehabilitation and was discharged after one month. During follow-up he presented with Glasgow Outcome Scale 4/5 ninety days after the trauma.

DISCUSSION
The first BEH case was described by Roy in 1884. Since then, BEH reports have been sporadic with an incidence ranging from 0.5 to 2% of all EDH [1,2]. High mortality rates (42-100%) have been reported in old series of BEH. With the widespread use of CT scan, early diagnosis has changed the surgical results and prognosis with recent series reported a decrease in mortality rates to 15.7% [1].

Linear skull fractures are associated with EDH in 61-95% of cases. In over one-half of all patients, the middle meningeal artery gives rise to the haematoma [3,4]. However, the main cause of bleeding in the BEH is usually venous bleeding [1]. We suppose that our case of BEH was caused by arterial bleeding after the laceration of both right and left middle meningeal arteries as a result of bilateral skull fracture. In general, haematomas secondary to arterial bleeding require more urgent evacuation since it can rapidly lead to intracranial hypertension (ICH). When surgery is indicated, either arterial or venous EDH are treated by craniotomy, with haematoma evacuation, haemostasis and dural retention sutures (along bone edges and centrally).

Once the diagnosis of BEH is made, urgent surgical treatment should be considered, and in cases of asymptomatic EDH, the responsible one for the neurological deterioration (when possible to identify) must be removed first. In cases where the hematoma is small, it can be followed with close clinical and tomographic controls.

[Table/Fig-1]: In A – Axial Skull CT showing asymmetric BEH. In B – Skull CT with bone window showing fractures (arrows) and underlying hematomas suggesting arterial source.

[Table/Fig-2]: Postoperative Skull CT demonstrated a good surgical result with hematomas well evacuated.
observation or treated by embolization of the middle meningeal artery to prevent additional enlargement of EDH [2,5]. We opted to remove both haematomas in the same procedure due to their large volumes. To this end, we positioned the patient in the dorsal decubitus position. The head was placed in Mayfield tongs by the two surgeons to facilitate surgical access to cranium both sides, so the EDHs could be treated at the same time. We think BEH should be evacuated simultaneously whenever possible in order to relieve more quickly the ICH and prevent an eventual increment of the contra lateral haematoma during removal of the first EDH in a sequential manner.

In the largest series [1,6,7], when BEH has different dimensions, the side with a larger volume should be evacuated first, followed by the contralateral haematoma, and when they have similar volumes should be evacuated first side of the dominant hemisphere. However, simultaneous craniotomy is possible and recommended, allowing the emergency treatment of intracranial hypertension, and functional recovery even in patients operated in critical neurological conditions.

Mortality is significantly higher in unconscious patients with haematomas >150cm³ size [4]. The patient was unconscious with total volume of intracranial hematoma:184cm³, due to ICH. and Required approach provided an effective treatment.
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