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ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** Magnetic Resonance Cholangio-Pancreatography (MRCP) is a non-invasive radiological investigation which can be performed rapidly and which does not expose the patients to ionised radiations or iodinated contrast material. The present study was conducted to evaluate the role of MRCP in detection of Common Bile Duct (CBD) stones in patients with suspected choledocholithiasis.

**Material and Methods:** This prospective study included 30 patients with a suspicion of choledocholithiasis which was based on clinical evaluation, biochemical or radiological investigations. Ultrasonography and MRCP were performed in all patients. All patients underwent open surgery. CBD exploration was performed in all patients, either due to presence of palpable stones or due to the presence of dilated CBD (> 7 mm). Demonstration of CBD stones intra-operatively was considered the ‘gold standard’ for their presence, defined as stones visualised and extracted or attempted for extraction during surgical CBD exploration.

**Results:** Intra-operatively, 21 (70%) out of 30 patients had choledolithiasis. 26 (86.67%) out of 30 patients had dilated CBD stones intra-operatively. In 20 (66.67%) out of 30 patients, choledocholithiasis was detected intra-operatively. The sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive value of ultrasonography in detecting CBD stones in the present study was 65%, 60%, 76.47% and 46.15% respectively. The sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive value of MRCP in diagnosis of CBD stones in the present study was 95%, 90%, 95% and 90% respectively.

**Conclusions:** MRCP is a non-invasive investigation without complications and it has high sensitivity, specificity and positive and negative predictive values in detection of CBD stones. MRCP should be done in all cases with a suspicion of CBD stones, where facilities and expertise are available.
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INTRODUCTION

Choledolithiasis is the most common biliary pathology. The incidence of choledocholithiasis in patients with cholelithiasis varies between 5 to 15 percent, out of which 5% are asymptomatic [1]. Although common bile duct (CBD) stones may be silent, the development of complications such as cholangitis and acute pancreatitis is associated with major morbidity and mortality. Therefore, the detection and treatment of common bile duct stones is mandatory.

Usually, the diagnosis of choledocholithiasis is based on a combination of clinical suspicion (biliary colic, jaundice and cholangitis), bio-chemical analysis (raised conjugated bilirubin and alkaline phosphatase levels) and imaging findings. Unfortunately, all of these individually have varying diagnostic accuracies and none is a completely reliable method for identifying bile duct stones [2]. Intra-operative Cholangiography (IOC) is standard procedure during open cholecystectomy which can detect CBD stones with a sensitivity of 98% and a specificity of 100%. It is an invasive investigation with intra-operative and post-operative morbidities of 6.3 % and 15.9% respectively. Its routine use is associated with increased costs and increased operating times [3].

Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangio-Pancreatography (ERCP) is able to detect common bile duct stones with high accuracy in patients with suspected stones [1]. ERCP can be applied both as a diagnostic and a therapeutic tool. It also allows direct visualisation of duct anatomy. However, ERCP has a significant mortality and morbidity of 1% and 7%, respectively [1]. A ductal cannulation is difficult or impossible in patients who had undergone previous surgeries, which include a Billroth Type-II gastrectomy and a hepatico-enterostomy.

In many institutions, Magnetic Resonance Cholangio–Pancreatography (MRCP) is replacing ERCP as a diagnostic procedure in the investigation of benign biliary obstructions and chronic pancreatitis. MRCP has an advantage because of its technical versatility, multi-planar capability and superior soft tissue resolution. Unlike ERCP, MRCP is non-invasive, it can be performed rapidly and it does not expose the patients to ionised radiations or iodinated contrast material. The present study was conducted to evaluate the role of MRCP in detection of CBD stones in patients with suspected choledocholithiasis.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

This prospective study was conducted on 30 patients, from July 2006 to January 2009, after obtaining permission from the institutional ethics committee. Informed consents for the study were taken from all patients. The procedures were in accordance with the guidelines of Ethical Committee on Human Experimentation of the institution in which the study was done, which were in accordance with the guidelines of Helsinki Declaration of 1975 which were revised in 2008. The study included 30 patients who were suspected of having choledocholithiasis on the basis of any of the following criteria:-

1. A history or presence of any of the following: Intermittent jaundice Cholangitis which was defined as the presence of fever (> 37.3°C), chills, colicky right upper quadrant pain and leucocytosis.
Status of post biliary pancreatitis which was defined according to a history of biliary pancreatitis of not more than 2 months duration prior to admission, with subsided pancreatitis at the time of admission.

**Post cholecystectomy syndrome.**

2. Total bilirubin which was > 1.2 mg / dL.

3. ALP which was > 220 IU/L.

A CBD diameter of > 7 mm at sonography or CBD stones which were suspected / diagnosed at sonography.

All cases of obstructive jaundice where the cause proved to be other than CBD stones (e.g. carcinoma head of pancreas, peripancreatic carcinoma, CBD strictures, cholangiocarcinoma, etc.) were excluded from the study.

The patients were initially evaluated by taking their detailed histories, by doing thorough physical examinations, by checking complete blood counts and by doing liver function tests. Ultrasound was done on GE RT 3200/Toshiba core-vision pro-diagnostic ultrasound system SSA -350 machine with a transducer of 3.5 M Hz or 5 M Hz frequency. Study was done after the patients had undergone an overnight fast for 8 to 12 hours. Scans were done in longitudinal, transverse and oblique planes.

MRCP was performed for all patients on a 1.5-Tesla Magnetom Avanto system (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). The patients fasted for 6 hours before MRCP. All patients were imaged with a body phased-array receive coil. 5 mm thick sections were taken from right dome of diaphragm to lower edge of liver. Following are the sequences which were used after the localiser: T2 HASTE AXIAL free breath, T2 HASTE FS AXIAL free breath, T1 FLASH AXIAL breath hold, T2 HASTE CORONAL free breath, 3D MRCP free breath (PACE), Single shot HASTE MRCP and single shot HASTE different angle. A 3D reconstruction was performed by MIP post processing, MIP image and thick angled coronal sections provided views of pancreatico - biliary tree which were similar to those which were seen on conventional ERCP.

All cases with cholecdocholithiasis which were suspected on clinical evaluation or during biochemical or radiological investigations were subjected to open surgeries. All patients with dilated CBDs of sizes of more than 7 mm (which were measured by vernier callipers) or with palpable CBD stones underwent CBD explorations. Demonstration of CBD stones intra-operatively was considered the ‘gold standard’ for detecting their presence, which included visualization, extraction or an attempt which was made towards extraction of stones during surgical CBD explorations.

All patient data was prospectively collected and it was entered into a database. Radiographic studies were interpreted by a radiologist. All patient data was prospectively collected and it was entered into a database. Radiographic studies were interpreted by a radiologist. All patients were followed up for their complications and outcomes.

**RESULTS**

This study included 30 patients with clinical, biochemical or radiological suspicions of cholecdocholithiasis. Their ages ranged from 25 years to 80 years, with a mean age of 54 years. A majority of the patients (63.3%) were above 50 years of age. Out of 30 patients, 17 (56.67%) were females and 13 (43.33%) were males. The female to male ratio was 1.31 [Table/Fig-1].

The most common presenting complaint was upper abdominal pain, which was present in 27 (90%) patients. The next common complaint was dyspepsia which was present in 23 (76.67%) patients. 12 (40%) patients had complaints of intermittent jaundice and highly coloured urine. 7 (23.33%) patients complained of clay coloured stools and 6 (20%) patients had pruritis. Fever with chills were present in only 4 (13.33%) patients [Table/Fig-2]. 3 (10%) patients had a past history of cholecystectomy. 6 (20%) patients had a past history of cholangitis. Presenting complaint of jaundice had a sensitivity, specificity and positive and negative predictive values of 45%, 70%, 75% and 38.89% respectively in the diagnosis of CBD stones.

The total leukocyte count (TLC) was raised in 7 (23.33%) out of 30 patients and it ranged from 11000 to 14950/mm³. The most common biochemical abnormality was a raised serum alkaline phosphatase level, which was raised in 22 (73.33%) patients and it ranged from 235 to 2291 IU/L. Total serum bilirubin was elevated in 10(33.33%) patients, which ranged from 4.6 to 17.2 mg%. Serum ALT and AST were elevated in 16 (53.33%) and 18(60%) patients respectively and serum amylase was raised in only 3(10%) patients [Table/Fig-3].

On ultrasonography, cholelithiasis was diagnosed in 19 (63.3%) patients and choledocholithiasis was diagnosed in 13 (43.3%) patients. USG showed dilated CBD stones (> 7 mm) in 23 (76.7%) patients [Table/Fig-3]. MRCP diagnosed cholelithiasis in 20 (66.7%) patients and choledocholithiasis in 19 (63.3%) patients. Dilated CBD stones were diagnosed in 25 (83.3%) patients [Table/Fig-4].

Intra-operatively, 21 (70%) out of 30 patients had cholelithiasis. 26 (86.7%) out of 30 patients had dilated CBD stones intra-operatively. In 20 (66.7%) out of 30 patients, cholecdocholithiasis was detected intra-operatively [Table/Fig-5]. Cholelithiasis and choledocholithiasis were most commonly seen in patients who were above 50 years of age [Table/Fig-6]. The most commonly performed operative procedure in these 20 patients was cholecystectomy with choledocholithotomy, with a T tube drainage, which was performed in 14 patients. In 3 patients, cholecystectomy with choledochoduodenostomy was performed due to the presence of multiple small calculi. In 3 post-cholecystectomy patients with choledocholithiasis, 2 underwent choledochoduodenostomies for multiple small calculi and 1 patient underwent a choledocholithotomy with primary closure. In the remaining 10 patients without choledocholithiasis, CBD explorations were performed in all, due to presence of dilated CBD stones intra-operatively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (Years)</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-50</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**[Table/Fig-1]: Age-Sex distribution of study population**
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**[Table/Fig-2]: Chief complaints in the study population**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biochemical Parameters (Normal Range)</th>
<th>No. of Patients with Abnormal Values of Biochemical Parameters (Range)</th>
<th>Percent (n=30)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TLC (4000-11000/mm³)</td>
<td>7(10000-14950)</td>
<td>23.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum Bilirubin (0.1-1.2 mg%)</td>
<td>10(4.6-17.2)</td>
<td>33.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum ALP (20-230 IU/L)</td>
<td>22(235-2291)</td>
<td>73.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum ALT (10-43 IU/L)</td>
<td>16(46-232)</td>
<td>53.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum AST (10-36 IU/L)</td>
<td>18(40-247)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum Amylase (0-175 IU/L)</td>
<td>3(199-589)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**[Table/Fig-3]: The Pattern of Biochemical Abnormalities**
operative. All these 10 patients underwent cholecystectomy with choledochotomy, with a T tube drainage or a primary closure. The correlation between various clinical, biochemical and imaging findings and intra-operative findings was studied and recorded. [Table/Fig-7]. Among the 20 patients with choledocholithiasis, 9(45%) patients presented with a history of jaundice and 17(85%) presented with a history of upper abdominal pain. Serum alkaline phosphatase had the highest sensitivity (65%) in the diagnosis of choledocholithiasis among the biochemical parameters which were studied. Ultrasonography could diagnose choledocholithiasis in 13 (65%) out of 20 patients with choledocholithiasis. MRCP demonstrated CBD stones in 19 (95%) out of 20 patients who were found to have choledocholithiasis intraoperatively [Table/Fig-7].

Ultrasonography could diagnose choledocholithiasis in only 13(65%) out of 20 patients with choledocholithiasis, which was found per operatively, giving a sensitivity of 65% [Table/Fig-8]. USG correctly diagnosed absence of choledocholithiasis in 6 out of 10 patients, i.e. the specificity of USG for choledocholithiasis in the present study was 60%. The positive predictive value of USG in detection of choledocholithiasis in the present study was 76.47% and negative predictive value was 46.15% [Table/Fig-8]. MRCP diagnosed choledocholithiasis in 19(95%) out of 20 patients with a sensitivity of 95% [Table/Fig-9]. MRCP correctly diagnosed absence of choledocholithiasis in 9 out of 10 patients without choledocholithiasis. The specificity of MRCP in diagnosing choledocholithiasis in the present study was 90%. The positive predictive value of MRCP in diagnosing choledocholithiasis in the present study was 95% and negative predictive value was 90%. [Table/Fig-8].

**DISCUSSION**

Although MRCP has been shown to provide an accurate diagnosis of CBD stones, only a few investigators have evaluated the utility of MRCP in the preoperative evaluation of symptomatic gallstones and accordingly, the precise role of MRCP in this regards has yet to be determined. Some authors have recommended MRCP for patients with a moderate risk of CBD stones and they have recommended ERCP before any other imaging examination for patients who are at high risk [4, 5], while others have recommended MRCP for patients with a high or moderate risk for CBD stones and they have recommended ERCP for patients in whom stones had been depicted by other imaging modalities [6].

In our study, all the clinical predictors of CBD stones individually had varying diagnostic accuracies and none was completely...
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MRCP is usually performed by a radiologist with training in MRCP techniques in a hospital setting. An MRI scanner is needed, with the software which is necessary to perform an MRCP. Such MRI scanners are usually less than 8 years old, although it is possible to upgrade scanners so that MRCPs can be performed. Periodic software upgrades are undertaken on MRI scanners. Some mobile scanning units are able to perform MRCPs. MRCP, like ERCP, is an elective procedure. An MRCP investigation takes approximately 15 minutes of room time, and the sequences take seconds to minutes. The number of MRCP scans which are undertaken may be strongly influenced by the amount of time which is allocated to the MRI scanner to undertake such investigations.

In our study, MRCP had a sensitivity of 95% (19 of 20 patients) in the demonstration of common duct stones and a specificity of 90% (9 of 10 patients), The positive predictive value of MRCP was 95% (19 of 20), whereas the negative predictive value was 90% (9 of 10 patients). There was one false positive and one false negative in the MRCP diagnosis of choledocholithiasis. The cause of the false positive finding on MRCP was mistaken a prominent ampullary sphincter for a lower bile duct stone. The false negative diagnosis had occurred, as multiple, small intrahepatic duct stones were missed out on MRCP. Stones were probably missed because of the lack of contrast between the stones and surrounding liver, with no high signal bile outlining the stones.

With current imaging techniques, the accuracy of MRCP in diagnosing CBD stones varies widely. Most of the large series have reported sensitivities which ranged from 81-100%, specificities which ranged from 85-100% and diagnostic accuracies which ranged from 89-100% in the MRCP diagnosis of choledocholithiasis. In the studies in which an MR cholangiography was performed with a two-dimensional fast or turbo spin-echo sequence and a standard body coil, the sensitivity of MR cholangiography in the detection of CBD stones was reported to range from 57% to 92%. A previous study in which patients with small stones comprised more than half of the study population, produced the lowest sensitivity (57.7%) in the detection of CBD stones. However, motion artifacts and blurring which are associated with the long acquisition times in the non-breath hold technique which is used would make the detection of small stones difficult and small stones may also move during MRCP when long acquisition times are required. An improved MR cholangiographic performance was achieved by using the breath-hold single-shot half-Fourier sequence with a phased array coil. With this technique, the reported sensitivity was 92%-100% [18]. In the present study, a single-shot half-Fourier sequence and a phased-array coil were used in all patients, and results were similar to those of other studies in which a similar technique was used. Calculi which are missed out on MRCP (MIP images) were most of the times, picked up by the source images and conventional cross sectional imaging, as small filling defects within the bile filled dilated common duct.

The small sample size was one of the limitations of our study. There are several other limitations which are associated with MRCP. Smaller CBD stones can be missed on MRCP [21]. However, usually, stones of sizes of up to 2-3 mm are visible. Papilla can only be seen in about 40% of patients who have MRCP [21]. There may also be a difficulty in depicting minor narrowing of the cystic and pancreatic ducts [22]. Another problem which is associated with MRCP is that maximum intensity projection (MIP) reconstructed images may completely obscure small filling defects and that they may demonstrate respiratory motion artefacts. Source images should always be interrogated, so that in practice, this is not an issue. The major problem with a multislice MRCP (for MIP) is a respiratory misregistration. Another issue is T2 weighting, which may vary with different MRI sequences and influence the findings. It should be noted that MRCP is only a diagnostic procedure. The impact of this is that if an ERCP was necessary afterwards as
a therapeutic intervention, an MRCP could have been avoided and patients would have been able to proceed immediately for treatment.

This study shows that MRCP has a diagnostic accuracy which is similar to that of direct cholangiography, in the diagnosis of choledocholithiasis. It provides images which are similar to those of ERCP without the use of a contrast agent or sedation, it is non-invasive, it can be performed rapidly and it avoids the complications which are associated with ERCP. It has the potential to replace ERCP in the diagnosis of bile duct stones. Good quality studies, particularly randomised controlled trials, are needed for comparing MRCP with diagnostic ERCP, which state inclusion/exclusion criteria and relevant patient characteristics. More research is also needed in the area of patient satisfaction and on ways for reducing problems with claustrophobia.

CONCLUSION

MRCP is an excellent primary tool for detecting or excluding CBD stones before cholecystectomy. In the present study, use of MRCP permitted a purely non-invasive negative diagnosis for 9 (90%) of 10 patients in whom probability of CBD stones was high. Use of MRCP could therefore spare these patients from invasive preoperative endoscopic procedures and it can also most likely reduce overall surgical costs. However, the potential application of MRCP in detection of CBD stones is limited by the expense and availability of technology, due to its high cost and lack of available expertise in operating the machine. We propose MRCP as the method of choice for the diagnostic imaging of bile duct calculi. Ultrasonography should be used as the initial imaging test in the screening of patients with suspected bile duct stones.
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