Oncology Section

Role of Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging Derived Parameters as Imaging Biomarkers and Correlation with Clinicopathological Features in Carcinoma of Uterine Cervix

RAMIREDDY JEBA KARUNYA¹, PUTTA THARANI², SUBHASHINI JOHN³, RAMANI MANOJ KUMAR⁴, SAIKAT DAS⁵

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) is emerging as a powerful tool in the evaluation and management of cervical cancer. The role of Diffusion Weighted Imaging (DWI) with Apparent Diffusion Coefficient (ADC) as a non-invasive imaging biomarker is promising in characterization of the tumour and prediction of response.

Aim: The aim of this study was to evaluate the role of conventional MRI and diffusion weighted MRI in predicting clinicopathological prognostic factors.

Materials and Methods: This was a retrospective study. The data of 100 cervical cancer patients who had MRI with DWI was retrieved from the database and analysed. Clinico pathological details were collected from the computerized hospital information system. SPSS version 15.0 was used for statistical analysis.

Results: The mean tumour dimensions on MRI in x, y and z axes were 43.04 mm (\pm 13.93, range: 17-85), 37.05mm (\pm 11.83, range: 9-80) and 39.63 mm (\pm 14.81, range: 14 –76). The mean T₂W MRI based tumour volume (TV) was 48.18 (\pm 34.3, range: 7–206) and on DWI images was 36.68(\pm 33.72, range: 2.5–200). The mean ADC value in patients with squamous cell carcinoma was 0.694 (\pm 0.125, n=88), adenocarcinoma was 0.989 (\pm 0.309, n=6), adenosquamous was 0.894 (\pm 0.324, n=4). There

was statistical significant difference in mean ADC between squamous vs. non squamous histology (p = 0.02). The mean ADC values of well differentiated, moderately differentiated, and poorly differentiated tumours were 0.841(\pm 0.227, n= 26), 0.729 (\pm 0.125, n=28), 0.648 (\pm 0.099, n=46) respectively.

There was significant statistical difference of mean ADC between well differentiated, moderately differentiated (p=0.020) and poorly differentiated tumours (p=0.0001). Difference between the mean ADC values between the node positive and node negative disease was statistically significant (p=0.0001). There was no correlation between the tumour volumes on T_2W and DWI images and ADC values. Sixteen patients had residual/ recurrent disease at a median follow up of 12 months (range: 3-59 months). The mean ADC values in this group was 0.71 (n=16) and was not significantly different from the disease free group (mean ADC =0.72, n=74).

Conclusion: Higher ADC values are associated with favourable histology and differentiation. Adenocarcinomas have higher ADC values followed by adenosquamous followed by squamous cell carcinomas. Well differentiated tumours had higher ADC values than moderately followed by poorly differentiated tumours. DWI with ADC have a potential role as an imaging biomarker for prognostication and needs further studies for routine clinical applications.

Keywords: Apparent diffusion coefficient, Diffusion magnetic resonance imaging, Uterine cervical neoplasm

INTRODUCTION

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) is fast emerging as a powerful tool for evaluation, treatment and response assessment in cervical cancer. The importance of MRI in pretreatment evaluation has been recommended by several recent guidelines [1-3]. In locally advanced disease, MRI is useful in determining the tumour size, craniocaudal extent, loco-regional infiltration like involvement of lower uterine segment, bladder and rectum and is superior to CT scan in detecting parametrial invasion [4]. DWI is based on the Brownian movement of water molecules. This movement of water molecules is influenced by change in cellularity, integrity of cellular membranes, tortuosity of extracellular space, and viscosity of tissue fluids which is reflected in the signal intensity of the imaging. DWI provides a superior tissue contrast compared to T₂HR images and is useful to differentiate tumour from necrosis or post radiotherapy changes [5-7]. ADC, a parameter derived from DWI, has shown potential as an imaging biomarker for clinicopathological features, nodal metastasis [8–10] and response assessment [6,7,11–13]. The ADC value in the case of cervical cancer is significantly lower than in the non-affected cervical tissue [14]. Change of ADC value has a potential role as an imaging biomarker in prediction of response [11,13,15] and outcomes of cervical cancers [13,16–20]. It has been shown to be a useful tool in monitoring and evaluation of response [21–24]. Clinicopathological correlation of this imaging biomarker in cervical cancer is an area of translational research and evidence is evolving regarding its role in locally advanced disease in developing countries where cervical cancer is a major public health problem [19]. The aim of this retrospective study was to analyse the role of functional magnetic resonance imaging derived parameters as imaging biomarkers and their correlation with clinicopathological features in carcinoma of uterine cervix.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This retrospective study was conducted in Christian Medical College, Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India, and was approved by the Institutional Review Board. Women diagnosed to have cervical cancer between October 2012 and November 2016 who had a baseline MRI scan were included in the study.

Pretreatment Evaluation

Pretreatment evaluation included detailed history, physical examination including pelvic examination, four quadrant cervical punch biopsy, haemogram and biochemical parameters including renal function test and liver function test, ECG, echocardiogram, chest X-Ray, ultrasound abdomen and MRI pelvis. Cystoscopy was considered in selected patients if bladder involvement was suspected. Patients were clinically staged using FIGO classification (2009 version) [25].

Treatment Protocol

In patients who underwent radical chemoirradiation, radiotherapy delivered with megavoltage teletherapy machines (linear accelerator using 6MV/15 MV energy or cobalt 60 machine). A total dose of 80 - 85 Gy (1B2 – IIB) or 85 - 90 Gy (III A – III B) to point A (defined as 2 cm superior to the external cervical os and 2 cm lateral to cervical canal) was delivered using combination of external beam radiotherapy (50 Gy in 25 fractions) and intracavitary HDR (high dose rate brachytherapy) with a dose of 30-35 Gy (LDR, low dose rate equivalent) in 2–3 fractions to point A. Concurrent cisplatin at a dose of 40 mg/m² was administered along with radiotherapy once a week for 4–5 cycles.

In adjuvant radiotherapy settings, the dose of radiotherapy was 50-50.4 Gy in 1.8–2 Gy per fraction followed by two fractions of brachytherapy using vaginal cyinders (6 Gy each fraction prescribed to 0.5 cm depth).

In the neoadjuvant chemotherapy regimen patients received three cycles of chemotherapy with paclitaxel (175 mg/sq.m) and carboplatin (5 AUC) followed by definitive treatment.

Surgery, radical hysterectomy and bilateral lymphadenectomy were done and adjuvant therapy was given according to the risk factor stratification.

Patients were followed up once in three months in the first year, once in six months in the second and third year and once a year in the subsequent years. During follow up, apart from clinical examination, haemoglobin, creatinine, chest X-ray, ultrasound abdomen and pelvis were done. If the patients had clinical or radiological suspicion of residual/recurrence, biopsy was advised along with volumetric imaging (CT/MRI with DWI/PET) at physician's discretion.

Imaging Protocol and Derivation of Apparent Diffusion Coefficient

MRI pelvis including diffusion images were acquired by a 3.0 Tesla MRI scanner (Achieva, Philips Healthcare, Netherlands). In brief, the following sequences were done in all patients: T₂ weighted coronal and axial sequences using a large Field Of View (FOV) including the pelvis from below aortic bifurcation; Short Tau Inversion Recovery (STIR) and T, weighted axial large FOV images; High Resolution (HR) T₂ weighted imaging using small FOV in three planes (axial, coronal and sagittal with respect to the plane of the uterine cervix); Diffusion weighted imaging with b-values of 0 and 800 sec/mm². ADC maps were generated and Region Of Interest (ROI) with minimum size of 10 mm² was drawn manually within the tumour showing restricted diffusion. Mean ADC value was calculated as the average of the three ADC values from each ROI (units -10⁻⁶ mm²/sec). In addition, the minimum ADC value of the tumour was noted (ADC min). Tumour size was recorded in three orthogonal planes - transverse, antero-posterior and sagittal - with respect to the orientation of the cervical tumour. Pelvic nodes (≥10 mm or highly suspicious if <10 mm) were taken as significant.

Tumour Volume Delineation in MRI and DWI

The tumour was manually outlined on each section of the $\rm T_2$ weighted high resolution axial images and diffusion images. While

using DWI images, only tissue which showed restricted diffusion i.e., hyperintense on DWI and hypointense on ADC map was outlined and used for calculating tumour volume. The volume of the tumour was calculated by multiplying the sum of areas of all sections by sum of slice thickness and inter-slice gap using automated volumetric tool of Eclipse treatment planning system (Eclipse TPS [™] version 10, Varian Medical Systems Inc., Palo Alto, USA).

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistical analysis was done by SPSS software version 15.0. Mean, standard deviation, kurtosis, skewness of ADC parameters were calculated and means were compared by independent sample t-test. In all cases, p<0.05 was taken as statistically significant. Kaplan Meier curve was plotted for disease free survival and overall survival in patients with recurrence.

RESULTS

Patient, Tumour and Treatment Related Characteristics

The mean age of the cohort was 50 years (range: 28-70, n= 100). The most common presenting symptoms were vaginal bleeding (n=71), white discharge (n=20), abdominal pain (n=2) and low backache (n=1). Six patients complained of both bleeding and white discharge. The mean pre treatment haemogobin was 10.92 (range: 4.0 -15.0). Patient, tumour and treatment characteristics are presented in [Table/Fig-1].

Seventy two patients received chemoirradiation, eight patients had surgery followed by radiotherapy, five patients received radiotherapy alone, three patients had surgery alone, two patients received chemotherapy, one patient had chemotherapy followed by surgery and another one patient had chemotherapy followed by chemoirradiation. Eight patients did not continue treatment after the

Characteristics	Frequency (Percent) N=100		
Stage 1b1 2A 2B 3A 3B 4A	11 7 54 1 26 1		
Pattern Infiltrative Ulcerative Ulceroproliferative Irregularity	23 7 68 2		
Histology Squamous Adeno Adenosquamous Carcinoma	88 6 4 2		
Differentiation Well Moderate Poor	26 28 46		
Lymphovascular invasio n Present Absent	11 89		
Treatment details Chemo irradiation Chemotherapy Surgery Chemotherapy – surgery Radiotherapy Chemotherapy → chemoradiotherapy Surgery→ radiation Defaulted treatment	72 2 3 1 5 1 8 8		
Recurrence Local Nodal Distant	16 6 4 6		

diagnosis. Follow up details of ten patients were not known inspite of best efforts (two patients treated with chemotherapy and eight patients defaulted after diagnosis).

Three patients had persistent disease at first follow up at three months (residual disease). Thirteen patients had disease recurrence either locoregional (n=7) and distant (n=6). MRI including DWI (n=2), PET CT scan (n=5) or CT scan with contrast (n=9) was done if recurrence was suspected. Six patients had biopsies from the recurrent site of the disease.

For calculating disease free survival both residual/recurrent disease were taken into account. The median time to recurrence was six months (3-15 months, n=16). Cumulative survival was 78% at a median follow up of 12 months (3-59 months, n=100, [Table/Fig-2a,b])

The mean tumour dimensions on MRI in x, y and z axes were 43.04 mm (\pm 13.93) (range: 17-85), 37.05 mm (\pm 11.83, range: 9-80) and 39.63 mm (\pm 14.81) (range: 14–76). The mean T₂W MRI based GTV was 48.18 (\pm 34.3, range: 7–206) and on DWI images was 36.68 (\pm 33.72, range: 2.5–200).

Volumetric and Functional Parameters

The mean ADC values displayed a normal distribution (population mean: 0.72 (\pm 0.168, range: 0.49–1.35, [Table/Fig-3]). The population mean of the minimum ADC values was 0.524 (\pm 0.149, range: 0.23–1.15). The mean ADC value in patients with squamous cell carcinoma (n=88) was 0.694 (\pm 0.125), adenocarcinoma (n=6) was 0.989 (\pm 0.309), adenosquamous (n=4) was 0.894 (\pm 0.324). There was significant statistical difference in mean ADC between squamous versus non squamous histology (p=0.02). The mean





ADC values of well differentiated (n=26), moderately differentiated (n=28), poorly differentiated tumours (n=46) were 0.841 (\pm 0.227), 0.729 (±0.125), 0.648 (±0.099) respectively. There was significant statistical difference between well differentiated, moderately differentiated (p=0.020) and poorly differentiated tumours (p=0.001). The mean ADC values in infiltrative, ulcerative, ulcero proliferative lesions were 0.72(±0.168), 0.664 (±0.108) and 0.726 (±0.175) respectively (p=0.97, infiltrative vs. non infiltrative). The other ADC values in relation with tumour parameters are presented in [Table/ Fig-4]. Difference between the mean ADC values between the node positive and node negative disease was statistically significant (p=0.001). The skewness and kurtosis calculated for mean ADC values for squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinomas were 0.816, 1.357, 0.252 and -1.115 respectively. Skewness for well, moderately and poorly differentiated tumours was 0.954, 0.118 and 0.466. The kurtosis was 0.180,-0.543 and -0.355 respectively. There was no correlation between the tumour volumes on T₂W and DWI images and ADC values. Sixteen patients had recurrence (local recurrence - 6, nodal recurrence - 4 and distant metastases - 6). Remaining 84 patients were on follow up. The patients who had disease failure had significantly large tumour volumes both on T₂W MRI and DWI. There was no significant difference between the ADC values of recurrence versus no recurrence group [Table/Fig-5].



[Table/Fig-3]: Distribution of mean ADC (n=100, A). Mean ADC in patients with no recurrence (n=84, B), with recurrence (n=16, C).

Parameters	N	Mean ADC (present)	Mean ADC (absent)	p-value		
Parametrium involvement	N=77	0.769(±0.205)	0.706(±0.153)	0.11		
Nodes present	N=31	0.748(±0.168)	0.678(±0.160)	0.03 *		
Lower uterine segment involvement	N=55	0.713(±0.150)	0.738(±0.186)	0.40		
Hydroureteronephrosis present	N=11	0.680(±0.890)	0.726(±0.174)	0.30		
Vaginal involvement	N=81	0.716(±0.164)	0.742(±0.185)	0.50		
Bladder involvement	N=8	0.684(±0.101)	0.724(±0.172)	0.50		
Rectum involvement	N=3	0.744(±0.132)	0.720(±0.169)	0.80		
[Table/Fig-4]: Mean ADC values in relation to tumour extent and spread. *= p-value < 0.05 is statistically significant, Statistical test used: independent sample						

st

sample t-test

Parameters	Recurrence	No recurrence	p-value
Mean ADC	0.71 ± 0.19	0.72 ± 0.16	0.92
Min ADC	0.49 ± 0.16	0.52 ± 0.14	0.41
Skewness of mean ADC	2.1	1.3	NA
Kurtosis of mean ADC	5.1	2.9	NA
Tumour volume (MRI)	67.5 ± 52.0	30.8 ± 25.4	0.01 *
Tumour volume (DWI)	78.1 ± 45.1	42.4 ± 28.8	0.007 *

[Table/Fig-5]: Comparison of volumetric and functional parameters in patients with and without recurrence. *= p-value < 0.05 is statistically significant, Statistical test used: independent



[Table/Fig-6]: a) Clinically staged as IB1, T2W HR MRI showed lesion in the cervix, measuring approximately 5.1 × 3.8 × 5.5 cm with parametrial stranding, more so on the left side, not extending to the pelvic side wall; b) Corresponding diffusion weighted image showing restricted diffusion in the same region.

b



[Table/Fig-7]: a) T2 weighted image showing cervical tumour with left sided parametrial stranding not appreciated in clinical examination; b) Diffusion weighted image showing restricted diffusion in the same region; c) T2 weighted image showing tumour with large right sided obturator node; d) Diffusion weighted image showing restricted diffusion in the nodal region.



[Table/Fig-8]: a) Carcinoma cervix with retroverted uterus and bladder involvement; b) Carcinoma cervix with deposit in pouch of Douglas.

DISCUSSION

Cervical cancer is an important public health problem characterized by high mortality to incidence ratio in developing countries like India. Inspite of advancement in diagnosis and therapy, survival in locally advanced cervical cancer is still dismal [26]. Though concurrent chemoradiation is the standard of care, benefit of addition of chemotherapy is debated especially in locally advanced settings [27]. Updated Cochrane meta-analyses [28] showed that benefit of addition of concurrent chemotherapy to radiotherapy, particularly in stages III-IVA, is minimal (3%). A large proportion of patients in developing countries present in locally advanced stages. Therefore, in the present scenario, prognostication of the disease with non-invasive imaging biomarker is highly relevant translational research. This will help to choose patients for intensification of therapy. Traditionally, clinical examination remains gold standard for evaluation, but MRI is emerging as an important adjunct in diagnosis and management of carcinoma cervix [29], both in early and locally advanced cases [30]. The role of volumetric and functional parameters of MRI, is an active area of research in staging, prognostication, management and follow up [31]. Conventional T₂HR MRI along with DWI is useful adjunct in delineating not only the tumour but also the disease extension to lower uterine segment, parametrial involvement [Table/Fig-6,7a,b], vaginal involvement, nodal involvement [Table/ Fig-7c,d], involvement of adjacent structures [Table/Fig-8a,b] and differentiating metastatic lesions from benign lesions [Table/Fig-9a,b]. DWI provides a good depiction of the primary tumour, residual disease and helps in assessing response after treatment [29]. This has significant implication not only in diagnosis but also in deciding the optimal therapy in multidisciplinary tumour board.

Recently, it has been shown that, ADC related parameters such as pretreatment ADC, post-treatment ADC and absolute change in ADC (Δ ADC) and Tumour ADC Increase Rate (TAIR) are potential prognostic biomarkers that can be useful in response assessment [6,7,32]. Distribution of cellular architecture, glandular structures and extracellular space influences the molecular impedance that is reflected by the ADC histogram parameters like central tendency, skewness and kurtosis [33–35]. Our study showed that mean ADC



[Table/Fig-9]: a) Diffusion image showing a bright lesion in the liver in a patient with cervical cancer; b) Corresponding ADC image showing no evidence of restricted diffusion, hence this lesion is most likely to represent atypical hemangioma.

was lower for squamous histology (p=0.02), poorly differentiated tumours (p=0.02), and node negative disease (p=0.03). It has been shown in the literature that, distribution parameters of ADC map like mean, skewness and kurtosis are useful imaging biomarker for clinicopathological factors like histology, differentiation and nodal involvement [34]. Lin Y et al., based on ADC histogram, reported higher median ADC for well/moderately differentiated tumours and less positive skewness in adenocarcinomas [35]. In view of more cystic and glandular spaces in adenocarcinoma compared to squamous cell carcinomas (that has increased cellular density), adenocarcinoma has higher ADC values but less positive skewness. Several authors reported ADC values were significantly lower in patients with lymph nodal metastases [8-10]. We did not find any correlation between ADC and lymphovascular space invasion or tumour volumes though larger tumours were associated with early recurrence (p=0.007). Similar result was reported by Lin Y et al., [35]. No association of pre-treatment ADC values with response was noted. This could be because of the fact that absolute change of ADC value (AADC) was more relevant for clinical and radiological response than single pretreatment value [32]. Several single institution studies and three meta-analyses had shown the importance of DWI with ADC as a predictor of recurrence and survival in patients with cervical cancer [6,17,18,20,23,36,37]. However, further prospective studies are needed to implement in routine clinical practice.

This report highlights that MRI derived imaging parameters can be a promising and meaningful biomarker of clinicopathological features and prognosis. However, the cost and logistics of MRI imaging is an important factor in routine clinical implementation. In developing countries like India, where carcinoma cervix is associated with poor socioeconomic status, affordability and logistics of routine pre-treatment MRI, is an issue that limits the wider application in general and should be considered currently as investigational.

To the best of our knowledge, limited data is currently available from India regarding the prognostic significance of ADC in cervical cancer patients [19].

LIMITATION

We have not used histogram based ADC analysis which is a limitation of our study.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, conventional T_2 W MRI along with DWI can increase the diagnostic accuracy of evaluation in carcinoma cervix. ADC could be a useful imaging biomarker that can be used as a surrogate for clinicopathological prognostication. Intensification of treatment regimen based on ADC value and the prognostic significance of absolute change in ADC value after treatment requires further research in future. Whether, ADC can be used as a surrogate marker for tumour microenvironment also needs further evaluation.

REFERENCES

- [1] American College of Radiology. ACR appropriateness criteria 2015.
- [2] Lim K, Small W, Portelance L, Creutzberg C, Jürgenliemk-Schulz IM, Mundt A, et al. Consensus guidelines for delineation of clinical target volume for intensitymodulated pelvic radiotherapy for the definitive treatment of cervix cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol. 2011;79:348–55.
- [3] Dimopoulos JCA, Petrow P, Tanderup K, Petric P, Berger D, Kirisits C, et al. Recommendations from Gynaecological (GYN) GEC-ESTRO Working Group (IV): Basic principles and parameters for MR imaging within the frame of image based adaptive cervix cancer brachytherapy. Radiother Oncol. 2012;103:113–22.
- [4] American college of Radiology. ACR appropriateness criteria advanced cervical cancer 2012.
- [5] Qayyum A. Diffusion-weighted imaging in the abdomen and pelvis: concepts and applications. Radiogr Rev Publ Radiol Soc N Am Inc. 2009;29:1797–810.
- [6] Nakamura K, Joja I, Nagasaka T, Fukushima C, Kusumoto T, Seki N, et al. The mean apparent diffusion coefficient value (ADCmean) on primary cervical cancer is a predictive marker for disease recurrence. Gynecol Oncol. 2012;127:478–83.
- [7] Nakamura K, Kajitani S, Joja I, Haruma T, Fukushima C, Kusumoto T, et al. The posttreatment mean apparent diffusion coefficient of primary tumour is superior to pretreatment ADCmean of primary tumour as a predictor of prognosis with cervical cancer. Cancer Med. 2013;2:519–25.
- [8] Liu Y, Liu H, Bai X, Ye Z, Sun H, Bai R, et al. Differentiation of metastatic from non-metastatic lymph nodes in patients with uterine cervical cancer using diffusion-weighted imaging. Gynecol Oncol. 2011;122:19–24.
- [9] He X-Q, Wei L-N. Diagnostic value of lymph node metastasis by diffusionweighted magnetic resonance imaging in cervical cancer. J Cancer Res Ther. 2016;12:77.
- [10] Chen YB, Liao J, Xie R, Chen GL, Chen G. Discrimination of metastatic from hyperplastic pelvic lymph nodes in patients with cervical cancer by diffusionweighted magnetic resonance imaging. Abdom Imaging. 2011;36:102–09.
- [11] Onal C, Erbay G, Guler OC. Treatment response evaluation using the mean apparent diffusion coefficient in cervical cancer patients treated with definitive chemoradiotherapy: ADC Response in Cervical Carcinoma. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2016;44:1010–19.
- [12] Liu Y, Sun H, Bai R, Ye Z. Time-window of early detection of response to concurrent chemoradiation in cervical cancer by using diffusion-weighted MR imaging: a pilot study. Radiat. Oncol. [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2016 Sep 19];10. Available from: http://www.ro-journal.com/content/10/1/185. Last access date June 04, 2017.
- [13] Ho JC, Allen PK, Bhosale PR, Rauch GM, Fuller CD, Mohamed ASR, et al. Diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging as a predictor of outcome in cervical cancer after chemoradiation. Int J Radiat Oncol. 2017;97:546–53.
- [14] Chen J, Zhang Y, Liang B, Yang Z. The utility of diffusion-weighted MR imaging in cervical cancer. Eur J Radiol. 2010;74:e101–06.
- [15] Liu Y, Bai R, Sun H, Liu H, Zhao X, Li Y. Diffusion-weighted imaging in predicting and monitoring the response of uterine cervical cancer to combined chemoradiation. Clin Radiol. 2009;64:1067–74.
- [16] Gladwish A, Milosevic M, Fyles A, Xie J, Halankar J, Metser U, et al. Association

of apparent diffusion coefficient with disease recurrence in patients with locally advanced cervical cancer treated with radical chemotherapy and radiation therapy. Radiology. 2016;279:158–66.

- [17] Heo SH, Shin SS, Kim JW, Lim HS, Jeong YY, Kang WD, et al. Pre-treatment diffusion-weighted MR imaging for predicting tumour recurrence in uterine cervical cancer treated with concurrent chemoradiation: value of histogram analysis of apparent diffusion coefficients. Korean J Radiol. 2013;14:616–25.
- [18] Marconi DG, Fregnani JHTG, Rossini RR, Netto AKBJ, Lucchesi FR, Tsunoda AT, et al. Pre-treatment MRI minimum apparent diffusion coefficient value is a potential prognostic imaging biomarker in cervical cancer patients treated with definitive chemoradiation. BMC Cancer [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2016 Sep 21];16. Available from: http://bmccancer.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12885-016-2619-0. Last access date June 04, 2017.
- [19] Chopra S, Verma A, Kundu S, Engineer R, Medhi S, Mahantshetty U, et al. Evaluation of diffusion-weighted imaging as a predictive marker for tumour response in patients undergoing chemoradiation for postoperative recurrences of cervical cancer. J Cancer Res Ther. 2012;8:68–73.
- [20] Erbay G, Onal C, Karadeli E, Guler OC, Arica S, Koc Z. Predicting tumour recurrence in patients with cervical carcinoma treated with definitive chemoradiotherapy: value of quantitative histogram analysis on diffusion-weighted MR images. Acta Radiol. [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2017 Feb 10]; Available from: http://acr.sagepub. com/lookup/doi/10.1177/0284185116656492. Last access date June 04, 2017.
- [21] Meng J, Zhu L, Zhu L, Wang H, Liu S, Yan J, et al. Apparent diffusion coefficient histogram shape analysis for monitoring early response in patients with advanced cervical cancers undergoing concurrent chemo-radiotherapy. Radiat. Oncol. [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2017 Feb 6];11. Available from: http://ro-journal. biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13014-016-0715-6. Last access date June 04, 2017.
- [22] Bae JM, Kim CK, Park JJ, Park BK. Can diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging predict tumour recurrence of uterine cervical cancer after concurrent chemoradiotherapy? Abdom Radiol. 2016;41:1604–10.
- [23] Schreuder SM, Lensing R, Stoker J, Bipat S. Monitoring treatment response in patients undergoing chemoradiotherapy for locally advanced uterine cervical cancer by additional diffusion-weighted imaging: A systematic review: DWI in Monitoring Treatment in Cervix Tumours. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2015;42: 572–94.
- [24] Kim SY, Lee SS, Park B, Kim N, Kim JK, Park SH, et al. Reproducibility of measurement of apparent diffusion coefficients of malignant hepatic tumours: effect of DWI techniques and calculation methods. J Magn Reson Imaging JMRI. 2012;36:1131–38.
- [25] FIGO 2009. Global Guidance for cervical cancer prevention and control. FIGO International federation of gynecoogy and obstetrics. [cited 2017 May 16]. Available from: http://www.figo.org/sites/default/files/uploads/wg-publications/ gynec-cancer/English_version.pdf. Last access date June 04, 2017.
- [26] Survival Rates for Cervical Cancer, by Stage [Internet]. [cited 2017 Apr 7]. Available from: https://www.cancer.org/cancer/cervical-cancer/detection-diagnosis-staging/ survival.html. Last access date June 04, 2017.
- [27] Datta NR, Stutz E, Liu M, Rogers S, Klingbiel D, Siebenhüner A, et al. Concurrent chemoradiotherapy vs. radiotherapy alone in locally advanced cervix cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Gynecol. Oncol. [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2017 Apr 7]; Available from: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ S009082581730077X. Last access date June 04, 2017.
- [28] Chemoradiotherapy for Cervical Cancer Meta-analysis Collaboration (CCCMAC). Reducing uncertainties about the effects of chemoradiotherapy for cervical cancer: individual patient data meta-analysis. Cochrane Database Syst. Rev. [Internet]. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2010 [cited 2017 Apr 7]. Available from: http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/14651858.CD008285/abstract. Last access date June 04, 2017.
- [29] Bourgioti C, Chatoupis K, Moulopoulos LA. Current imaging strategies for the evaluation of uterine cervical cancer. World J Radiol. 2016;8:342.
- [30] Current Role of Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Evaluation and Radiotherapy in Locally Advanced Carcinoma Cervix | SpringerLink [Internet]. [cited 2016 Sep 19]. Available from: http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s40944-016-0063-3. Last access date June 04, 2017.
- [31] Sala E, Wakely S, Senior E, Lomas D. MRI of malignant neoplasms of the uterine corpus and cervix. Am J Roentgenol. 2007;188:1577–87.
- [32] Das S, Chandramohan A, Rami Reddy JK, Mukhopadhyay S, Kumar RM, Isiah R, et al. Role of conventional and diffusion weighted MRI in predicting treatment response after low dose radiation and chemotherapy in locally advanced carcinoma cervix. Radiother Oncol. 2015;117:288–93.
- [33] Rosenkrantz AB. Histogram-based apparent diffusion coefficient analysis: an emerging tool for cervical cancer characterization? AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2013;200:311–13.
- [34] Downey K, Riches SF, Morgan VA, Giles SL, Attygalle AD, Ind TE, et al. Relationship between imaging biomarkers of stage I cervical cancer and poorprognosis histologic features: quantitative histogram analysis of diffusionweighted MR images. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2013;200:314–20.
- [35] Lin Y, Li H, Chen Z, Ni P, Zhong Q, Huang H, et al. Correlation of histogram analysis of apparent diffusion coefficient with uterine cervical pathologic finding. Am J Roentgenol. 2015;204:1125–31.
- [36] Wang Y-T, Li Y-C, Yin L-L, Pu H. Can diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging predict survival in patients with cervical cancer? A meta-analysis. Eur J Radiol. 2016;85:2174–81.
- [37] Fu C, Bian D, Liu F, Feng X, Du W, Wang X. The value of diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging in assessing the response of locally advanced cervical cancer to neoadjuvant chemotherapy. Int J Gynecol Cancer Off J Int Gynecol Cancer Soc. 2012;22:1037–43.

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

- Assistant Professor, Department of Radiation Oncology, Christian Medical College, Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India.
 Assistant Professor, Department of Radiology, Christian Medical College, Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India.

- Professor, Department of Radiation Oncology, Christian Medical College, Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India.
 Associate Professor, Department of General Pathology, Christian Medical College, Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India.
- 5. Associate Professor, Department of Radiation Oncology, Christian Medical College, Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India.

NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Dr. Saikat Das, Associate Professor, Department of Radiotherapy, CMC, Vellore-632004, Tamil Nadu, India. E-mail: drsaikatdas@gmail.com

FINANCIAL OR OTHER COMPETING INTERESTS: None.

Date of Submission: Apr 08, 2017 Date of Peer Review: May 13, 2017 Date of Acceptance: Jun 04, 2017 Date of Publishing: Aug 01, 2017